

INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS

Washington State Department of Transportation

***I-5/Yesler Way to NE
117th St. – Pavement, Deck,
and Expansion Joints***

Project

ISSUED: ***March 6, 2023***

PROPOSALS DUE: ***June 28, 2023***

Table of Contents

1			_
2			
3			
4			
5	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6			
7	7 1.3.2 Conceptual Plans and Reference Documents		
8	J		
9	J		
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
11			
12	- · J		
13	13 1.3.8 Minority, Small, Veterans, and Women's Busi	ness Enterprise Participation4	ļ
14	14 1.3.9 Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Participation	on Requirements4	ŀ
15	15 2 Procurement Process	5	5
16			
17			
18	e		
19	•		
20			
21			
22	1 \		
23			
24	·		
25			
26	1		
20 27	1		
28		•	
28 29	1		
30	1		
31	1		
32	1		
33	1		
34	1 1		
35			3
36			
37	1		
38	\mathcal{E}		
39			
40			
41	41 2.14 Bonds		į
42	42 2.14.1 Proposal Bond		,
43			
44	44 2.14.3 Surety Qualifications	16	ĵ
45	45 2.15 Execution of Contract	16	į
46	46 2.16 Failure to Execute Contract	17	7
47	47 2.17 Return of Proposal Bond	17	1
48	48 3 Proposal Delivery, Content, Format, and WSDOT Secure F	ile Transfer Protocol	7
49	± • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
50	*		

Table of Contents

1	3.1.2	Contents of the Proposal	18
2	3.1.3	Format Requirements and Submission Instructions	
3	3.2 No	onresponsive	
4	3.3 Te	echnical Proposal	21
5	3.3.1	General	21
6	3.3.2	Executive Summary (Section 1)	22
7	3.3.3	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Participation	22
8	3.3.4	***Goal 1: Minimize Impacts (Section 2)	22
9	3.3.5	***Goal 2: Communications with the Public (Section 3)	
10	3.3.6	***Goal 3: Project Management (Section 4)	
11	3.3.7	***Goal 4: Project Safety (Section 5)	28
12	3.3.8	Appendix A - Proposer Information and Certifications	
13	3.3.9	Appendix B – Resumes	
14	3.3.10	Appendix C - Details of Technical Approach and Innovations	
15	3.3.11	Appendix D - DBE Performance Plan	
16	3.3.12	Price Proposal (Section ***6***)	
17	4 Propo	osal Evaluation Process	31
18		eneral	
19		echnical Evaluation Scoring Summary	
20		esponsiveness and Pass/Fail Review	
21	4.3.1	Initial Responsiveness Review	
22	4.3.2	Upset Amount Determination	
23	4.3.3	Pass/Fail Criteria Review	
24	4.4 Ev	valuation of the Technical Proposal	
25		pparent Best Value Determination	
26	4.5.1	Proposal Rating	
27	4.6 Be	est and Final Offers	
28	5 Contr	ract Award and Execution	36
29	5.1 De	ebriefing	37
30		oposal Evaluation	
31	6 Practi	ical Design Workshop	37
32	7 Propo	oser Stipends	37
33		oprietary or Confidential Information	
34	8 Protes	sts	38
35	8.1 Pro	otest Procedures	38
36	8.1.1	Protests Regarding Request for Proposal Documents	39
37	8.1.2	Protests Regarding Responsiveness Determination or Award	
38		dicial Review	
39 40			

Table of Contents

1	FORM A, Design-Build Proposal Form and Signature Page	A-1
2	FORM D, Contract Time/Milestone Completion Deadlines	D-1
3	FORM E, Identification of Proposer, Guarantors, Major Participants, etc.	E-1
4	FORM G, Non-Collusion Declaration	G-1
5	FORM H, Certification for Federal-Aid Contracts	H-1
6	FORM K, Form of Guaranty	K-1
7	FORM L, Utility Certification	L-1
8	FORM M, Stipend Agreement	M-1
9	FORM N, Stipend Invoice	N-1
10	FORM Q, Option for Use of WSDOT-Owned Property	Q-1
11	FORM R, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Disclosure	R-1
12	FORM S, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification	S-1
13	FORM T, Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures	T-1
14	FORM U, Professional Liability Insurance Certification	U-1
15 16	FORM V, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Performance Plan Template	V-1

1 General Information

1.1 Introduction

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) will use a two-phase process to select a design-build contractor ("Design-Builder") to deliver the ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project*** (the "Project") described in the Contract. During the first phase of the procurement, WSDOT determined the short list of Proposers for the Project based on Statements of Qualifications (SOQs) it received in response to its Request for Qualifications (RFQ), dated ***August 29, 2022***. This Request for Proposal (RFP) is issued as part of the second phase of the procurement.

The RFP documents consist of these Instructions to Proposers (ITP); the General Provisions; the Technical Requirements; the Contract Form, which will be conformed to include information based on the successful Proposer's Proposal and signed by WSDOT and the Design-Builder; and certain other documents identified in Appendix A of the Contract.

WSDOT invites the SOQ submitters who have been advised that they are on the short list (Proposers) to submit electronic competitive Proposals (Proposals) for design and construction of the Project, as more specifically described in this RFP. WSDOT will award the Contract for the Project (if at all) to the responsive and responsible Proposer offering a Proposal that meets the standards established by WSDOT, and that is determined by WSDOT to provide the best value to WSDOT. The process for determining the Apparent Best Value Proposer includes a review of the pass/fail (P/F) requirements; an assessment of the quality of the Proposer's Technical Proposal; and the Proposer's Price Proposal. WSDOT will accept Proposals only from short listed Proposers.

The Design-Build process is paperless in accordance with Executive Order E 1066.00, Executive Order E 1010, and Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.360. Consequently, within all design-build documents, each occurrence of the term Written shall be deemed to mean an electronic communication (see definition of Written in Section 1-03.1 of the *General Provisions*). Additionally, references to "signature" shall be deemed to refer to either electronic signature or Digital Signature, depending on context.

1.1.1 Title VI Assurances

WSDOT, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d to 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that for any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

1.2 Definitions

Capitalized terms used in the ITP and not otherwise defined herein, shall have the meanings set forth in the *General Provisions*.

1.3 Scope of Work

The Project description is provided in Section 2.1, *General Information*, of the Technical Requirements (TR). The Work includes all services, labor, material, and equipment necessary to design and build the Project in accordance with the Contract.

Proposers are advised that this RFP was developed to organize and consolidate the specifications and design and construction criteria for all Project components. However, the TR do not specifically describe every detail of the Work required. It is each Proposer's responsibility to review all pertinent Project requirements and criteria, as contained in the entire RFP, and the Proposer selected as the Design-Builder must perform its obligations in accordance with the requirements of the entire RFP. The Design-Builder shall not rely on the physical description contained in the Contract to identify all of the Project components. The Design-Builder shall determine the full scope of the Project through a thorough examination of the RFP, the Project Site, and any reasonable inferences to be gathered from each.

1.3.1 Basic Configuration

The Basic Configuration is defined in Section 1-01 of the *General Provisions*. The Proposal must be consistent with the Basic Configuration and the Contract, subject only to such changes as may have been approved by WSDOT in accordance with the Alternative Technical Concepts (ATCs) process described herein.

1.3.2 Conceptual Plans and Reference Documents

The Reference Documents contained in the RFP (including those portions of the Conceptual Design that do not establish the Basic Configuration elements) are provided for informational purposes to assist the Proposers in preparing their Proposals, but the Reference Documents do not represent requirements binding on the Design-Builder. WSDOT makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy, adequacy, applicability, or completeness of the Reference Documents. Except to the extent set forth to the contrary in the Contract, reliance upon the Reference Documents shall be at the Proposer's risk, and WSDOT shall have no liability or obligation as a result of the inaccuracy, inadequacy, inapplicability, or incompleteness of the Reference Documents, regardless of the contents thereof.

Each Proposer is responsible for reviewing the Conceptual Plans in advance of submitting its Proposal, for purposes of assessing their adequacy for meeting the Contract requirements and determining whether any changes are necessary or advisable. The Design-Builder shall be solely responsible for Project design and construction in accordance with the Contract.

1.3.3 1 **Utility Relocations** 2 Section 1-07.17 of the General Provisions and Section 2.10, Utilities and 3 Relocation Agreements, of the TR (together with any documents referenced therein) set forth the rights and obligations of WSDOT, the Design-Builder, and 4 5 any Utility Owner with respect to Relocation and Relocation Costs. Price Proposals shall be consistent with the requirements of Section 1-07.17 of the 6 7 General Provisions. WSDOT funds are not available for Relocation Costs arising 8 out of the Relocation of a Utility for which the Utility Owner has Cost Responsibility. Proposers are required to certify that they have not included in 9 their Price Proposal any such Relocation Costs. Proposers are reminded that the 10 circumstances under which the Design-Builder may obtain additional 11 compensation for Relocation Costs under the Contract are extremely limited. 12 13 1.3.4 **Project Goals** WSDOT has established the following Project goals: 14 15 Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Participation 16 Meet or exceed DBE requirements throughout the life of the Project with an 17 integrative approach to maximize DBE participation. 18 ***Project Goal 1: Minimize Impacts 1a. Minimize impacts to traffic by maintaining highway and local street 19 20 operations and minimizing the number of traffic shifts, full roadway closures, ramp closures, and lane closures through safe, effective 21 22 Maintenance of Traffic strategies and construction staging. 23 1b. Minimize impacts to local residents, businesses, and communities, 24 including but not limited to, noise, vibration, dust, and construction 25 lighting. 26 **Goal 2: Communications with the Public** 27 Proactively provide timely and effective communications aimed at notifying 28 the public about construction work, freeway and ramp closures, traffic delays, 29 alternate routes, to minimize impacts from construction activities. **Goal 3: Project Management** 30 31 3a. Through effective project management, deliver a successful design-build 32 project by proactively identifying and managing Project risks and 33 collaborating with WSDOT and Project stakeholders to identify issues early and efficiently resolve issues at the Project level. 34 35 3b. Develop a plan for removing people experiencing homelessness within the Project limits that implements the State, King County, and City of Seattle 36 37 requirements for illegal encampment removal.

Goal 4: Project Safety

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

Develop and administer an effective safety program to ensure both public and Project worker safety.***

1.3.5 Validity Period; Notice to Proceed

Proposals shall remain valid for 90 Calendar Days after the Proposal Due Date.

WSDOT anticipates that Notice to Proceed (NTP) will be issued shortly after

Contract execution, but WSDOT may defer issuance of NTP for up to

30 Calendar Days after Contract execution.

1.3.6 Procurement Schedule

Action	Date
Issue RFP	***March 6, 2023***
Voluntary Proposer Meeting	***March 15, 2023***
ATC Submittal Deadline	***May 24, 2023***
Deadline for Submitting Proposer's Questions	*** May 24, 2023***
Deadline for WSDOT Response to Proposer's Questions	***June 12, 2023***
Proposals Due	***June 28, 2023***
Announce Apparent Best Value Proposer	***August 16, 2023***
Estimated NTP	***September 19, 2023***

1.3.7 Project Cost

The estimated Proposal Price is approximately ***\$265,000,000 to \$310,000,000***.

1.3.8 Minority, Small, Veterans, and Women's Business Enterprise Participation

This section is intentionally omitted.

1.3.9 Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Participation Requirements

The DBE requirements of 49 CFR Part 26 apply to this Project. To be eligible for award of this Contract, each Proposer shall complete Form V, *DBE Performance Plan Template*, and submit a DBE Performance Plan in Appendix D as part of a responsive Proposal.

The DBE Performance Plan will be considered in the technical evaluation scoring.

1.3.9.1 Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Goals

- WSDOT has established DBE Goals in the amount of ***16*** percent of the design portion and ***20*** percent of the construction portion of this Project.
- Design elements include technical reports/memoranda, design survey,
- 27 geotechnical testing and engineering, Utilities investigation and design, pavement

design, structures design (bridges and retaining walls), drainage design, 1 2 stormwater/water quality management plans, landscape architecture and design, civil roadway design, traffic engineering, lighting design, and Quality Assurance 3 (QA). Unless a modification is requested and approved, all elements of the Project 4 not included in the design elements shall be considered part of the construction 5 elements. 6 7 1.3.9.2 Federal Small Business Enterprise Goals ***This section is intentionally omitted.*** 8 2 9 **Procurement Process** 2.1 10 Confidentiality and Conflicts of Interest 2.1.1 **Organizational Conflicts of Interest** 11 Organizational conflicts of interest means that because of other activities or 12 relationships with other persons or entities, a Person or entity: 13 Is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to 14 **WSDOT** 15 Is or might be otherwise impaired in its objectivity in performing the Contract 16 Work 17 Has an unfair competitive advantage 18 19 The integrated nature of the design-build project delivery method creates the 20 potential for organizational conflicts of interest. Disclosure, evaluation, 21 neutralization, and mitigation of these conflicts and of the appearance of conflicts, 22 is in the interests of the public, WSDOT, and the consulting and construction 23 communities. 24 WSDOT will take steps to ensure that individuals involved in the preparation of 25 the procurement package, evaluation of each SOQ and Proposal, and Design-26 Builder selection are not influenced by organizational conflicts of interest, and 27 that no Proposer is given an unfair competitive advantage over another. 28 Attention is directed to the requirement for disclosure of organizational conflicts 29 of interest set forth in 23 CFR Section 636.116(a)(2), WSDOT Secretary's Executive Order E 1059.00, and the WSDOT Organizational Conflicts of Interest 30 31 Manual. 32 As stated in the WSDOT Organizational Conflicts of Interest Manual, it is the Proposer's responsibility to avoid, neutralize, or mitigate potential conflicts of 33 interest. Proposers are required to disclose all relevant facts concerning any past, 34 35 present, or currently planned interests, activities, or relationships which may 36 present organizational conflicts of interest. Proposers shall state how their interests, activities, or relationships, or those of the chief executives, directors, 37

Key Personnel, or any proposed Consultant, Subconsultant at any tier, Contractor,

- or Subcontractor at any tier may result in, or could be viewed as, organizational conflicts of interest prior to or in the Proposal, in accordance with WSDOT Secretary's Executive Order E 1059.00 and the WSDOT Organizational Conflicts of Interest Manual. Submit Form R, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Disclosure and Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan and Form S, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification.
 - If organizational conflicts of interest are determined to exist, WSDOT may, at its sole discretion, offer the Proposer the opportunity to avoid, neutralize, or mitigate the organizational conflicts of interest; disqualify the Proposer from further participation in the procurement; cancel this procurement; or, if award has already occurred, declare the Proposal nonresponsive and award the Contract to the next responsive Apparent Best Value Proposer, or cancel the Contract. If the Proposer was aware of organizational conflicts of interest prior to award of the Contract and did not disclose the conflict to WSDOT, WSDOT may terminate the Contract for default.
 - WSDOT has retained the following consulting firms to provide guidance in preparing the RFQ, the RFP, and advice on related financial, contractual, and technical matters:
 - ***Applied Professional Services, Inc.
 - HNTB Corporation

- NVL Laboratories, Inc.
- Ott Sakai and Associates, LLC***
- These firms are prohibited from joining any Proposer's team or otherwise assisting any Proposers in connection with the procurement process.

2.1.2 Confidentiality During Evaluation and Selection Process

Subject to Applicable Law, WSDOT will use reasonable efforts to maintain confidentiality during the Proposal process. The foregoing shall not preclude WSDOT from using, in its sole discretion, ideas contained in the Proposals of any unsuccessful Proposer, subject to WSDOT's payment of the stipend in accordance with Section 7 of this ITP.

2.2 Examination of Request for Proposal

Each Proposer shall be solely responsible for reviewing and examining, with appropriate care, all documents included in the RFP, including any supplements, addenda, and clarification notices issued by WSDOT; requesting an explanation or interpretation of any discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error, or omission contained therein, or of any provision that the Proposer fails to understand; and investigating and informing itself of any and all Project conditions and circumstances that may in any way affect the contents of the Proposal or the performance of the Work after Contract award. The Proposer bears the risk of all consequences of any failure to thoroughly investigate all relevant Project and Project Site conditions and circumstances as described herein.

2.3 Communications

The WSDOT Technical Point of Contact for receiving Proposer questions, ATC submittals, and all other communications about the Project and the RFP (other than submission of the Proposals) is as follows:

***Kyengo Ndile, PE, PMP

NWR Sno-King Design Office – Project Engineer

(206) 440-4272

Email: projxl6272@wsdot.wa.gov***

Except for communications expressly permitted by the RFP, the Proposer shall not discuss the RFP with other WSDOT staff members or WSDOT consultants involved with the Project before Contract execution or cancellation of the RFP. Any Proposer engaging in such prohibited communications may be disqualified at the sole discretion of WSDOT.

Proposals shall be submitted to Jenna Kemp in accordance with Section 3 of this ITP.

2.4 Submission of Proposer Questions

Any Proposer questions regarding a perceived discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error, or omission contained in the RFP documents, or of any provision that the Proposer otherwise fails to understand regarding the RFP documents or the Project, must be submitted by email to the WSDOT Technical Point of Contact by the deadline for questions set forth in Section 1 of this ITP. Requests for clarification or interpretation must specifically reference the Sections and the page numbers of the RFP documents, unless such request is of a general application. Telephone requests will be accepted, provided that the requests are followed by an email to the WSDOT Technical Point of Contact at the address specified in Section 2.3 of this ITP. WSDOT will provide responses to questions as described in Section 2.5 of this ITP.

2.5 Request for Proposal Addenda and Responses to Questions

WSDOT may issue addenda to the RFP. WSDOT will provide responses to all questions received in accordance with this Section. All addenda and responses will be posted on the WSDOT Contract Ad & Award website at:

https://wsdot.wa.gov/business-wsdot/contracting-opportunties/i-5-yesler-way-ne-117th-st-pavement-deck-and-expansion-joints-project

WSDOT will send an email notification to the interested parties list as soon as each addendum, response, or group of responses is issued. Notwithstanding the foregoing, WSDOT will communicate with each Proposer on a one-on-one basis with regard to questions regarding ATCs that WSDOT determines are appropriate for confidential communications.

A final set of questions and answers will be compiled and distributed prior to the Proposal Due Date. Responses to questions are not considered part of the

- Contract, and shall not be relevant in interpreting the Contract, except as they may clarify provisions otherwise considered ambiguous.

 If WSDOT determines, in its sole discretion, that any interpretation or
- clarification resulting from the question-and-answer process requires a change in the RFP documents, WSDOT will issue an addendum making such change.
- 6 WSDOT will not be bound by, and the Proposer shall not rely on, any
- communication or representation regarding the RFP documents, unless it is an addendum to this RFP and is not superseded by a later addendum to this RFP, and
- 9 except to the extent provided above regarding responses to questions.

2.6 Right of Way Access

10

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

3738

39

- Prior to award of the Contract, if the Proposer determines that field investigations are necessary to properly bid the Work, the Proposer shall request Right of Way (ROW) access from the WSDOT Engineer prior to performing any field investigations. The Proposer shall obtain an *Application for General Permit* (Appendix R of the Contract) from WSDOT. Applicable forms shall be submitted to the WSDOT Engineer for approval 7 Calendar Days in advance of the requested field investigation date.
- The Proposer shall coordinate with the WSDOT Engineer to determine if traffic control is necessary. When traffic control is necessary, proposed Traffic Control Plans shall be submitted for approval a minimum of 7 Calendar Days in advance and include the hours and Calendar Days the Proposer will be in WSDOT's ROW. As guidance, Section 2.22, *Maintenance of Traffic*, of the TR provides the allowable Contract Work hours and lane closure times.
- The Proposer shall coordinate with the WSDOT Engineer to access the property owned by WSDOT available for use as a Project office.

2.7 Geotechnical and Hazardous Materials Information

2.7.1 Geotechnical Baseline Report

WSDOT has prepared a Geotechnical Baseline Report (GBR) in Appendix G of the Contract. Each Proposer is solely responsible for reviewing the GBR and incorporating the baselines into their Proposal. The GBR shall not be used for design.

2.7.2 Geotechnical Data Report

This section intentionally omitted.

2.7.3 Additional Geotechnical Investigation and Analysis

Prior to award of the Contract, if the Proposer determines that additional geotechnical or subsurface investigations are necessary to properly bid the Work, it is the responsibility of the Proposer to perform such investigation and analysis at its sole expense. Prior to performing any field investigations, the Proposer shall obtain ROW access approval as detailed in Section 2.6 of this ITP from WSDOT.

Such requests for investigations may take place at any time before or after submission of the Proposal. All subsurface investigations, including sampling and laboratory testing, shall be performed in accordance with the WSDOT Geotechnical Design Manual (Appendix D), the 1988 AASHTO Manual on Subsurface Investigations, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards, and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards. No such investigations shall be performed without the prior written consent of WSDOT, for which consent may be granted or denied at WSDOT's sole discretion.

2.7.4 Hazardous Materials Report and Good Faith Investigation

- WSDOT has prepared a Hazardous Materials Report (Appendix E) and conducted a Good Faith Investigation (GFI) for Asbestos Containing Material or Presumed Asbestos Containing Material (Appendix E).
- Refer to Section 2.8, *Environmental*, for information regarding the Hazardous Materials Report and the results of the GFI.

2.7.5 Additional Hazardous Materials Investigation

Prior to award of the Contract, if the Proposer determines that additional hazardous materials investigations are necessary to properly bid the Work, it is the responsibility of the Proposer to perform such investigation at its sole expense. Prior to performing any field investigations, the Proposer shall obtain ROW access approval as detailed in Section 2.6 of this ITP from WSDOT. Such requests for investigations may take place at any time before or after submission of the Proposal. All hazardous materials investigations shall be performed in accordance with the WSDOT *Environmental Manual* (Appendix D). No such investigations shall be performed without the prior written consent of WSDOT, for which consent may be granted or denied at WSDOT's sole discretion.

2.8 Alternative Technical Concepts

To promote innovation by Proposers and to maintain flexibility in the procurement process, WSDOT will allow Proposers to submit to WSDOT for consideration ATCs that modify the Basic Configuration or other Contract requirements. In order to be approved, an ATC must be deemed, in WSDOT's sole discretion, to provide a Project that is "equal or better" on an overall basis than the Project would be without the proposed ATC. Concepts that simply delete scope, lower performance requirements, lower standards, or reduce Contract requirements are not acceptable as ATCs.

2.8.1 Pre-Proposal Submittal of Alternative Technical Concepts

To be considered, a proposed ATC must be submitted to WSDOT no later than ***5:00:00 p.m.*** Pacific Time (PT) on the date set forth in Section 1.3 of this ITP. This deadline also applies to revised submissions in response to WSDOT's comments. Each ATC submittal package shall be submitted via email in a single

1 2		DF file, shall ne following	include line numbers on all narrative pages, and shall address all of elements:
3	•	Brief Descr	ription: A few words identifying the ATC, for future reference.
4 5 6	•	configuration	escription: A detailed description and schematic drawings of the on of the ATC or other appropriate descriptive information f appropriate, product details, and specifications.
7	•	Usage: A d	escription of where and how the ATC would be used on the Project.
8 9 10	•	ATC, inclu	Investigation: Present a geotechnical investigation related to the ding all supporting documentation. Said investigation shall include er's geotechnical basis of design.
11 12	•	Hazardous related to the	Materials Investigation: Present a hazardous materials investigation ne ATC.
13 14 15 16	•	are modifie modificatio	FP modifications: References to all requirements of the RFP that d by the proposed ATC with an explanation of the nature of the n from said requirements and a request for approval of such ns. Use addendum and tracked changes format.
17 18 19 20 21 22	•	WSDOT D documentate Manual. No	alyses: If the ATC requires "Design Analysis" as defined in the esign Manual (Appendix D), the submittal package shall include tion for the design analysis which conforms to the WSDOT Design design analysis shall be incorporated into an ATC without VSDOT approval, and Federal Highway Administration approval as
23 24 25	•	Project with	an analysis justifying use of the ATC and demonstrating how the a the ATC is "equal or better" than the Project without the ATC. or better" analysis shall address the following:
26 27 28		a)	Functionality, which when appropriate shall require a traffic operational analysis, and Complete Streets analysis (pedestrian and bicycle level of traffic stress)
29		b)	Structural adequacy
30		c)	Safety
31		d)	Comparison of life cycle costs including repair and maintenance
32		e)	Aesthetics
33		f)	Impacts on construction traffic
34 35		g)	Effect on or changes to environmental commitments identified in the RFP
36 37		h)	Impacts to surrounding and adjacent communities, including EJ and LEP populations
38 39		i)	Changes needed in the location, length, height, or number of noise walls

1 Impact on Utilities and rail 2 k) Discussion of additional ROW or easements required Do not submit any data indicating the effect that approval of the ATC will have 3 on the Proposal Price. 4 If a Proposer wishes to make any announcement or disclosure to third parties 5 (such as other governmental agencies that may have an interest in the ATC) 6 7 concerning any ATC, it must first notify WSDOT of its intent to take such action, including details of the date and participants, and obtain WSDOT's prior approval 8 9 to do so. 2.8.2 10 **Pre-Proposal Review of Alternative Technical Concepts** Incomplete ATC submittal packages may be returned by WSDOT without 11 Review and Comment. WSDOT may, at its discretion, request additional 12 information regarding a proposed ATC, conduct One-on-One Meetings with 13 Proposers to discuss ATCs, and establish such protocols or procedures as it deems 14 appropriate for conducting One-on-One Meetings. Subject to the Washington 15 Public Records Act, and to WSDOT's right to use proposed concepts following 16 award of the Contract based on payment of the stipend, all discussions with 17 Proposers regarding ATCs will remain confidential. 18 19 Although WSDOT reserves the right in its sole discretion to reject any ATC, ATCs specifically not eligible for approval, include the following: 20 ATCs that are, in WSDOT's sole discretion, deemed not to provide a Project 21 that is "equal or better" on an overall basis than the Project would be without 22 23 the ATC. 24 Any ATC that would require excessive time or cost for WSDOT to review, 25 evaluate, or investigate. In order to be approved, an ATC must be deemed, in WSDOT's sole discretion, to 26 provide a Project that is "equal or better" on an overall basis than the Project 27 28 would be without the proposed ATC. Potential changes to the Proposal Price will 29 not be considered by WSDOT in the "equal or better" determination. 30 2.8.3 WSDOT Response WSDOT will respond to all ATCs within 14 Calendar Days of ATC receipt, 31 provided that WSDOT has received all requested information regarding the ATC. 32 33 The format for response will include the ATC number and a brief description, and will be limited to one of the following: 34 35 1. The ATC is approved. 2. The ATC is not approved. 36 The ATC is not approved in its present form, but may be reconsidered for 37 approval upon satisfaction, in WSDOT's sole discretion, of certain 38 39 identified conditions that must be met or certain clarifications or

- modifications that must be made as described hereunder. The Proposer shall not have the right to incorporate this ATC into the Proposal unless and until the ATC has been resubmitted within the time limits in the ITP, with the conditions stated below satisfied, and WSDOT has unconditionally approved the revised ATC.
 - 4. The submittal does not qualify as an ATC but appears eligible to be included in the Proposal without an ATC (i.e., the concept appears to conform to the Basic Configuration and to be consistent with other Contract requirements).

WSDOT approval of an ATC extends solely to the information contained in the ATC submittal.

2.8.4 Incorporation into Proposal

The Proposer may include any or all approved ATCs in its Proposal. The Proposal Price shall reflect any incorporated ATCs. Except for incorporating approved ATCs, the Proposal shall not otherwise contain exceptions to or variations from the requirements of the RFP. If WSDOT responded to an ATC by stating that certain conditions must be met prior to granting approval, the Proposer shall not have the right to incorporate the ATC into the Proposal unless and until the ATC has been timely resubmitted with the conditions satisfied and WSDOT has approved the ATC in writing. Once an ATC has been approved, only the entire ATC is eligible for inclusion into the Proposal. The inclusion of partial ATCs into a Proposal is not allowed.

WSDOT's geotechnical investigation and subsurface Utilities investigation conducted for this Project and included in the RFP was based on the Conceptual Design and Basic Configuration. Therefore, the geotechnical information and subsurface Utilities information provided in the RFP does not purport to represent Site conditions for an ATC.

Consequently, with respect to geotechnical investigations, the Proposer is responsible for conducting its own geotechnical investigation prior to the Proposal Due Date, for changes to the Conceptual Design or Basic Configuration, if any, that are approved as part of an ATC. When conducting the geotechnical investigation, Design-Builder may take into consideration the geotechnical information provided in the RFP to supplement its own analysis as applied to the Design-Builder's design addressed in the ATC. The Proposer's geotechnical investigation included in the ATC submittal, once accepted by WSDOT, will form the basis upon which different site conditions will be addressed under the Contract for Work implemented as part of an ATC.

With respect subsurface Utilities, WSDOT has performed preliminary investigations of existing Utilities located within the Project's ROW, as designated in the RFP absent modification by an ATC. The Proposer will be responsible for conducting its own investigation relating to all Utilities located outside of said ROW.

The Design-Builder shall conduct all Work necessary to update the Basis of
Design and the Alternative Comparison Table for the Project should any revisions
be necessary due to an ATC.

2.9 Change in Proposer's Organization

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

If a Proposer wishes to change its form of organization from that described in its SOQ, or if it wishes to remove any Major Participant or Key Personnel (as such terms are defined in the RFQ) from those identified in the SOQ, the Proposer shall obtain written approval of the change from the WSDOT Technical Point of Contact as specified in this Section prior to submitting its Proposal. To qualify for WSDOT's approval, the written request must demonstrate how the proposed change will be equal to or better than the Major Participant or Key Personnel identified in the SOO. The written request shall include a resume (limit to two pages per Person) for each Major Participant or Key Personnel and a side-by-side comparison of the original Major Participant or Key Personnel (as identified in the SOQ) and the proposed Major Participant or Key Personnel. For Major Participants, this side-by-side comparison shall relate relevant experience to each of the evaluation criteria identified in Section 7.6.2 of the RFQ. For Key Personnel, this side-by-side comparison shall relate relevant experience to the Project goals identified in Section 7.5.3 of the RFQ. Side-by-side comparisons shall demonstrate that the proposed change is equal or better. WSDOT is under no obligation to approve such requests and may approve or disapprove a portion of the request or the entire request at its sole discretion.

2.9.1 Liquidated Damages for Key Personnel

Key Personnel Damages – If awarded the Contract, the Proposer shall make all Key Personnel identified in the SOQ available at all times and places required under the terms of the Contract and shall ensure that such Key Personnel devote all efforts necessary for all periods of time necessary or required under the terms of the Contract, to timely fulfill all Contract obligations.

If an individual filling one or more Key Personnel roles is not available for the Work or does not maintain active involvement in the prosecution and performance of the Work, the Proposer acknowledges that WSDOT, the Work, and the Project will suffer significant and substantial damages and that it is impracticable and extremely difficult to determine the actual damages that would accrue in such an event. Therefore, if for any reason a substitution of Key Personnel identified in the SOQ is either requested by the Proposer or replacement is required by WSDOT, the Proposer agrees to pay liquidated damages in the amount identified below, per substitution, in addition to obtaining the required approval of the substituted Key Personnel from WSDOT. The Proposer understands and agrees that any damages payable in accordance with this section are in the nature of liquidated damages and not a penalty and that such sums are reasonable under the circumstances.

Key Personnel	Liquidated Damage Amount
Project Manager	***\$200,000***
Construction Manager	***\$150,000***
Work Zone Traffic Engineering Manager	***\$100,000***
Communications Manager	***\$100,000***
DBE Program Administrator	***\$100,000***

2.10 Withdrawal of Proposal

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

- After submitting a Proposal to WSDOT, the Proposer may withdraw it if the Proposer submits a written request signed by an authorized representative of the Proposer.
- The original Proposal may be revised and resubmitted as the official Proposal if WSDOT receives the revised Proposal before the Proposal Due Date.
- WSDOT will not accept requests to revise or withdraw electronic Price Proposals.

 Such requests shall be furnished directly to BidExpress[®] and in accordance with their terms and conditions.
 - Any attempt by a Proposer to withdraw its Proposal after the time due on the Proposal Due Date, regardless of whether WSDOT requests a Best and Final Offer (BAFO), will result in a draw by WSDOT upon the Proposal bond.

2.11 WSDOT's Rights

- WSDOT reserves the right, at its sole discretion, to:
- Appoint evaluation committees to review Proposals
- Investigate the qualifications of any Proposer
 - Seek or obtain data from any source related to the Proposals
 - Require confirmation of information furnished by a Proposer
 - Hold meetings and conduct discussions and correspondence with the Proposers to seek an improved understanding and evaluation of the responses to this RFP
- Require additional information from a Proposer concerning its Proposal
- Seek and receive clarifications to a Proposal
- Require additional evidence of qualifications to perform the Work
- Modify the RFP process
- Waive minor deficiencies and irregularities in a Proposal

Reject any or all of the Proposals

1

2 Cancel, modify, or withdraw the RFP Issue a new RFP 3 4 Issue a request for BAFOs 5 Cancel a Contract signed by the Apparent Best Value Proposer but not yet executed by WSDOT 6 7 Not issue NTP after execution of the Contract 8 The RFP does not commit WSDOT to enter into a Contract or to proceed with the 9 procurement described herein. Other than the right to receive a stipend as described in Section 7 of this ITP, no unsuccessful Proposer shall be entitled to 10 reimbursement of its costs in connection with the RFP. 11 12 2.12 Announce Apparent Best Value Proposer 13 The public opening of the sealed Price Proposal and announcement of Apparent Best Value Proposer is scheduled for 11:00:59 a.m. PT on the date set forth in 14 Section 1.3 of this ITP. Contract Ad & Award staff will livestream the virtual 15 public opening using this link: 16 https://www.wsdot.wa.gov/biz/contaa/Contracts/default.htm 17 18 2.13 Award of Contract 19 Typically, Contract award or Proposal rejection will occur within 60 Calendar Days after the Proposal Due Date. If the Apparent Best Value Proposer and 20 21 WSDOT agree, this deadline may be extended. If they cannot agree on an 22 extension by the deadline, WSDOT reserves the right to award the Contract to the 23 next Apparent Best Value Proposer or reject all Proposals. WSDOT will notify 24 the successful Proposer of the Contract award in writing. 25 2.14 **Bonds** 26 2.14.1 **Proposal Bond** 27 Each Proposer shall submit a Proposal bond with its Proposal in the amount of 28 5 percent of the Proposal Price, issued by a Surety meeting the requirements 29 stated in this Section. The Proposal bond shall be in an electronic format via Surety2000.com or Insurevision.com. The Proposal bond shall be submitted 30 electronically via AASHTOWare Project Bids[™] software and BidExpress[®]. A 31 Proposal bond shall not be conditioned in any way to modify the minimum 32 33 5 percent required. Proposals that fail to include a Proposal bond in compliance 34 with this Section shall be deemed nonresponsive and will be rejected by WSDOT. 2.14.2 Contract Bond 35 The successful Proposer shall provide an executed Contract Bond for the full 36 37 Proposal Price. This Contract Bond shall:

1. Be on WSDOT Form 272-002B, Contract Bond - Highway Construction, 1 2 located in the WSDOT Form Catalog at: 3 https://www.wsdot.wa.gov/forms/pdfForms.html 2. Be signed by an approved Surety (or Sureties) that: 4 5 a. Is registered with the Washington State Insurance Commissioner 6 b. Appears on the current Authorized Insurance List in the State 7 published by the Office of the Insurance Commissioner 8 3. Be conditioned upon the faithful performance of the Contract by the 9 Design-Builder within the prescribed time 10 4. Be conditioned upon the payment of taxes, increases, and penalties 11 incurred on the Project under titles 50, 51, and 82 RCW 5. Guarantee that the Surety shall indemnify, defend, and protect WSDOT 12 against any claim of direct or indirect loss resulting from the failure: 13 14 a. Of the Design-Builder (or any of the employees, Subcontractors, or lower tier Subcontractors of the Design-Builder) to faithfully perform 15 the Contract 16 17 b. Of the Design-Builder (or the Subcontractors or lower tier 18 Subcontractors of the Design-Builder) to pay all laborers, mechanics, 19 Subcontractors, lower tier Subcontractors, material Person, or any 20 other Person who provides supplies or provisions for carrying out the 21 Work 22 WSDOT may require Sureties or Surety companies on the Contract Bond to appear and qualify themselves. Whenever WSDOT deems the Surety or Sureties 23 24 to be inadequate, it may, upon written demand, require the Design-Builder to 25 furnish additional Surety to cover any remaining Work. Until the added Surety is furnished, payments on the Contract will stop. 26 27 The Contract Bond is intended to provide protection to WSDOT for the Design-Builder's obligations with respect to the construction and post-construction 28 phases of the Project, and to meet the requirements of RCW 39.08, et al. 29 30 2.14.3 **Surety Qualifications** Bonds must be issued by a Surety with a Best's rating of at least "A-" or better 31 32 and Financial Size Category of VIII or better by A.M. Best Co. The Surety shall 33 be registered with the Washington State Insurance Commissioner and shall appear on the current Authorized Insurance List in the State published by the Office of 34 35 the Insurance Commissioner. 2.15 **Execution of Contract** 36 Within 20 Calendar Days after award of the Contract, the successful Proposer 37 38 shall return the signed Contract prepared by WSDOT, together with the insurance 39 certification, Contract Bond, and any other pre-award information required by the Contract and shall be registered as a contractor in the State. 40

- Until WSDOT executes the Contract, no Proposal shall bind WSDOT. No Work shall begin within the Project limits or within Sites furnished by WSDOT until issuance of NTP. The Design-Builder shall bear all risks for any Work begun outside such areas and for any materials ordered before the Contract is executed by WSDOT and NTP has been issued.
- If the Proposer experiences circumstances beyond its control that prevents
 execution of the Contract within 20 Calendar Days after receipt of documents,
 WSDOT may, at its discretion, grant up to a maximum of 20 additional Calendar
 Days for return of the executed Contract, provided WSDOT deems the
 circumstances warrant it.

2.16 Failure to Execute Contract

11

12 13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

Failure to return the insurance certification, Contract Bond, or other pre-award information required by the Contract with the signed Contract, or failure or refusal to sign the Contract or failure to register as a contractor in the State, shall result in a call upon the Proposal bond. If this should occur, WSDOT may then award the Contract to the second Apparent Best Value Proposer or reject all remaining Proposals. If the second Apparent Best Value Proposer fails to return the required documents as stated above within the time provided after award of the Contract, the Contract may then be awarded successively in a like manner to the remaining Apparent Best Value Proposers until the above requirements are met or the remaining Proposals are rejected.

2.17 Return of Proposal Bond

When Proposals have been examined and corrected as necessary, Proposal bonds accompanying Proposals ineligible for further consideration will be returned. All other Proposal bonds will be held until the Contract has been properly executed. When the Contract has been properly executed, all remaining Proposal bonds, except those subject to forfeiture, will be returned.

3 Proposal Delivery, Content, Format, and WSDOT Secure File Transfer Protocol

3.1 Submittal Requirements

3.1.1 Due Date, Time, and Electronic Location

- Technical Proposals and Form C, *Upset Amount Determination*, must be electronically uploaded to the WSDOT Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP) site prior to 11:59:59 p.m. (Midnight) PT, on the Proposal Due Date set forth in Section 1.3 of this ITP.
- Example instructions on how to upload the Technical Proposal to the WSDOT
 SFTP site using the free FTP client FileZilla can be found in the online directory
 for the Project in the folder named "Submittals".

Proposers shall use the same Username and Password that was provided during the RFQ to access the WSDOT SFTP site. WSDOT will grant permission to the WSDOT SFTP site a minimum of 21 Calendar Days before the Proposal Due Date set forth in Section 1 of this ITP.

Only the final version of the Technical Proposal shall be uploaded to the WSDOT SFTP site. If revisions to the uploaded final Technical Proposal are necessary before the Proposal Due Date, completely replace the Technical Proposal on the WSDOT SFTP site with the revised Technical Proposal. Only a single version shall be on the WSDOT SFTP site at the Proposal Due Date. If multiple versions of a Technical Proposal are on the WSDOT SFTP site after the Proposal Due Date, it may result in a nonresponsive Proposal.

WSDOT is not responsible for any technical difficulties or network issues Proposers may encounter in accessing the WSDOT SFTP site. WSDOT recommends testing the WSDOT SFTP site and upload process prior to the Proposal Due Date.

The Price Proposal and Proposal Bond shall be submitted electronically via AASHTOWare Project Bids[™] software and BidExpress[®] for this Project prior to 11:59:59 p.m. (Midnight) PT, on the Proposal Due Date set forth in Section 1.3 of this ITP. WSDOT will not accept Proposals by facsimile, email, or hard copy. Any Proposal that fails to meet the deadline or delivery requirement may be rejected and returned to the Proposer without having been opened, considered, or evaluated.

3.1.2 Contents of the Proposal

The Proposal shall contain the sections listed below, and shall respond fully to all applicable requirements of the RFP:

Table 3.1.2 CONTENTS OF THE PROPOSAL

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SECTIONS 1 THROUGH ***5*** AND APPENDICES	Page Limit*
Section 1 – Executive Summary	2
Section 2 – ***Minimize Impacts***	***8***
Section 3 – ***Communications with the Public***	***5***
Section 4 – ***Project Management***	***5***
Section 5 - ***Project Safety***	***2***
Appendix A – Proposer Information and Certifications	
Form A, Design-Build Proposal Form and Signature Page	
Form D, Contract Time/Milestone Completion Deadlines	
Form E, Identification of Proposer, Guarantors, Major	
Participants, Earthwork Subcontractors, Structures	
Subcontractors, and Each Subconsultant and Subcontractor	
Performing 20 Percent or More of the Design-Build Work	
Description of Legal Structure	
Form G, Non-Collusion Declaration	

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SECTIONS 1 THROUGH ***5*** AND APPENDICES	Page Limit*
Form H, Certification for Federal-Aid Contracts	
Form K, Form of Guaranty	
Form L, Utility Certification	
Evidence of Authorization – Powers of Attorney	
Information and Work Site Certification	
Certification Regarding Changes to Key Personnel and Major Participants	
Certification Regarding Right of Way	
Form M, Stipend Agreement	
Form N, Stipend Invoice	
Form Q, Option for Use of WSDOT-Owned Property	
Form R, Organizational Conflicts of Interest – Disclosure and Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan	
Form S, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification	
Form T, Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures	
Appendix B – Resumes	2 Pages per Person
Appendix C – Details of Technical Approach and Innovations	
DBE Participation	
Appendix D - DBE Performance Plan	

^{*}The page limits listed here are specific to the narratives and organizational charts. There is no page limit for the Preliminary Baseline Contract Schedule, plans, or other technical data provided in each section.

PRICE PROPOSAL SECTION ***6***	Page Limit
Section ***6*** – Price Proposal	
Schedule of Items (via BidExpress®)	
Proposal Bond (via BidExpress®)	

3.1.3 Format Requirements and Submission Instructions

- The Technical Proposal shall be formatted as follows:
- Language All information shall be in English.
- **File Type** –Technical Proposals shall be submitted as a PDF. All PDF files shall be unlocked; embedded video, audio, or multimedia shall not be used.
- Plan Sheet PDF Files All PDF Plan Sheets shall be flattened.
- File Size Individual file sizes shall not exceed 200 MB; files shall not be zipped.
 - **Font** Font shall be a regular style font.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Font Size Font size shall be a minimum of 12 points.
 - Spacing All text shall be single-spaced.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

3233

34

35

36

38 39

- **Style** Other than minimum font size and a regular style font, heading styles and figures are not prescribed.
- Page Size Except for charts, exhibits, and other illustrative and graphical information, all information shall be on 8.5 by 11-inch portrait-oriented pages. Charts, exhibits, and other illustrative and graphical information may be on 11 by 17-inch pages. Text on 11 by 17-inch pages shall be limited to contextual information relating to charts, exhibits, and other illustrative and graphical information. Examples of contextual information include titles, labels, legends, and concise descriptive captions. The 11 by 17-inch pages will be counted as one page.
- Page Margins Page margins shall be a minimum of 0.75 inches from any page edge. No text, tables, figures, photos, or other substantive content shall extend into the margin minimum.
- Page Limit Page limits are described in Table 3.1.2. The Proposals shall include only information required by this ITP. No other information will be considered in the evaluation of the Proposal. All pages that exceed the specified page limit will be deleted prior to evaluation.
- **Hyperlinks** Hyperlinks to material outside the Proposal shall not be used. Any links to other information will be ignored and not be considered in the evaluation of the Proposal.
- **Dividers** Section dividers will not be counted toward the allowable page total and shall contain, at a minimum, one of the following:
 - a) Section number
 - b) Section title
 - c) No other text is permitted on the dividers.
- **Front Cover** The front cover will not be counted towards the page limit and shall be labeled with the name of the Submitter, along with the following:

I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. - Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project

Design-Build Project

Proposal

[Date of Submittal]

- Package (s) The Technical Proposal shall be uploaded to the WSDOT SFTP site and shall clearly displaying the Proposer's name.
- The Proposal shall consist of the following two divisions:
 - 1) Technical Proposal Sections ***1 through 5***, and Appendices A, B, C, and D:

The Technical Proposal and Appendices A, B, C, and D shall be uploaded to 1 the WSDOT SFTP site as described in Table 3.1.2 of this ITP. 2 3 Each Proposer must provide: 4 • A PDF Proposal with signatures. 2) Price Proposal Section ***6***: 5 The Price Proposal shall be submitted electronically via AASHTOWare 6 Project Bids[™] software and BidExpress[®] with an electronic Proposal Bond via 7 Surety2000.com or Insurevision.com and BidExpress[®], as described in 8 9 Table 3.1.2 of this ITP. 10 The Price Proposal consists of: 1. Schedule of Items. The Proposer shall submit its Proposal Price 11 divided into the categories identified on the Schedule of Items in 12 BidExpress[®]. The total of the Schedule of Items will be the Contract 13 Price. 14 15 2. Proposal Bond. The Proposal shall include a Proposal bond in accordance with Section 2.14.1 of this ITP. 16 17 (See Section 3.1.1 of this ITP for Proposal delivery.) 3.2 Nonresponsive 18 19 It is the Proposer's sole responsibility to ensure that its Proposal is received as required. Proposals received after the Proposal Due Date will be rejected without 20 21 consideration or evaluation. Proposers shall provide responses to all information requested in the RFP. Failure to respond or to provide requested information may 22 23 result in a determination by WSDOT, in its sole discretion, that a Proposal is 24 nonresponsive. 3.3 25 Technical Proposal 26 3.3.1 General 27 The Technical Proposal shall include concise narrative descriptions and graphic illustrations, drawings, charts, technical reports, and calculations that will enable 28 29 WSDOT to clearly understand and evaluate both the capabilities of the Proposer 30 and the characteristics and benefits of the proposed Work. No price information of any kind may be included in the Technical Proposal. A complete copy of all 31 32 approved ATCs incorporated into the Proposal, including WSDOT's approval 33 letters issued pursuant to Section 2.8 of this ITP, shall be included in Appendix C 34 of the Proposal. 35 Plans or drawings submitted as a part of the Technical Proposal shall be submitted 36 in accordance with the requirements of the RFP. The Technical Proposal must be organized to correspond to the items listed in this Section and address the 37

evaluation factors set forth in this Section and in Section 4.2 of this ITP. The

1 Proposer shall use tabbed dividers to separate the contents of the Technical Proposal. 2 3 3.3.2 **Executive Summary (Section 1)** 4 Submit an executive summary, written in a Plain Language that contains sufficient information to familiarize reviewers with the Proposer's Project 5 approach and ability to satisfy the Project goals; and the financial, legal, and TR 6 7 of the Project. The executive summary shall summarize each of the sections required in this ITP. The intent is to familiarize reviewers who will not be reading 8 9 each individual section of the Proposal. 10 3.3.3 **Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Participation** DBE Participation is a Project Goal that will be evaluated using the DBE 11 Performance Plan. WSDOT seeks a Proposer that will meet or exceed the 12 assigned DBE Goal in both the design and construction portions of the Project. 13 WSDOT sees value in a Proposer who utilizes a proactive and collaborative 14 approach on a regular basis to solicit interest and bids from DBE 15 Subcontractors/Subconsultants on Work opportunities arising from this Project. 16 **Submit:** Complete Form V, DBE Performance Plan Template and submit the 17 18 Proposer's DBE Performance Plan in their Technical Proposal as Appendix D. 19 **Evaluation Criteria:** 20 The DBE Performance Plan must explain the approach to DBE participation. The DBE Performance Plan will be evaluated on its ability to achieve the WSDOT 21 22 DBE Participation Project goal: 23 The DBE Performance Plan must meet or exceed DBE requirements throughout 24 the life of the Project with an integrative approach to maximize DBE 25 participation. In conjunction with the requirements of Section 4.4 of this ITP, a 26 Proposer may receive an adjectival rating of "Good" if the DBE Performance Plan generally meets the RFP requirements. A DBE Performance Plan that exceeds the 27 28 RFP requirements/objectives may receive a higher rating when the DBE Performance Plan contains all the minimum elements and contains specific 29 30 approaches and commitments that are considered to exceed the RFP requirements, 31 such as: 32 1. Defining DBE Commitments to date (including Work descriptions), commitments to remove barriers to DBE participation through waiver of 33 34 certain contract matters such as bonding requirements. 35 2. The Proposer cites recent examples of successful DBE participation on past projects, a high percentage of race neutral participation, or both. 36 37 3. References confirm a consistent commitment to exceeding the DBE goals. ***Goal 1: Minimize Impacts (Section 2) 38 3.3.4

It is important that during design and construction of this Project, the Proposer

strives to minimize impacts to the traveling public and the local communities.

39

1 2 3 4 5	and lo lane cl and bu	OT desires that the Design-Builder's plans and approach maintain highway cal street operations; minimize the number of traffic shifts and roadway and losures; minimize noise, light, dust, and vibration impacts to local residents usinesses; and reduce impacts to communities. A description of this Project is provided in Section 1.3.4.
6	Subm	it: The Proposer shall submit the following in support of this Project goal:
7	Goal 1	a.
8 9	i.	A narrative describing the Proposer's staging plans and traffic control strategies for the Project. The narrative shall address the following:
10		 Plan to minimize the overall roadway and lane closures
11		• Plan to reduce the number or duration of allowable ramp closures
12		 Plan to reduce the number of traffic shifts
13 14		 Plan to maintain pedestrian and bicycle access throughout construction
15 16		 Plan to limit neighborhood impacts – construction cut through traffic, employee parking
17		 Plan to maintain safe access and transit operation
18 19 20	ii.	A narrative that outlines the critical path for the Work, the major risks, and any contingency and/or mitigation plans in place to ensure the Work can be completed within the allowable closures.
21 22 23	iii.	Provide any technical data to support the narratives listed under 1a.i and ii above in Appendix C. This will not be counted in the page limit for this section.
24	iv.	Provide the following plans:
25 26 27 28		 Staging plan, including typical sections, for each phase of construction for the Work to be performed at each location. Include detailed Maintenance of Traffic and construction staging plans for each weekend closure.
29 30		• Staging and Maintenance of Traffic plan to illustrate the Proposer's approach to perform the major stormwater Work.
31 32 33		 Details within staging plans to show how the Proposer will accommodate pedestrian, bicycle, and transit movements through the Project locations during major stages of construction.
34 35 36 37 38	V.	Provide a logic-driven Contract schedule depicted in a logic-driven linear schedule format with the Project length by milepost on the x-axis and the Project time in months and years on the y-axis. The logic-driven linear schedule shall indicate all progression of Project Work including the Critical Path and include all periods of Work restriction such as areas and

1 2	types of Work restricted by Project permits, Utility Work, and allowable roadway closures.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vi. Preliminary baseline schedule in PDF format, prepared in Primavera P6 Version 16.2 by Oracle or later version and narrative to reflect all phases of the Project, including Project milestones, design, construction, and closeout provided on 11 by 17-inch pages. The preliminary baseline schedule shall use the Estimated NTP date set forth in Section 1.3.6 of thi ITP. The preliminary baseline schedule shall demonstrate the Design-Builder's plan for performing the Work, and its understanding of, and compliance with, the schedule-related restrictions in the Contract.
11	The preliminary baseline schedule shall include:
12 13 14 15	 A logic-driven schedule prepared using the critical path method that includes durations of the Work in Calendar Days for each of the proposed traffic stages or phases at each location in the Project The preliminary baseline schedule shall show the predecessor and successor relationship between activities.
17 18 19 20	 The logic-driven preliminary baseline schedule shall include the progression of obtaining the Project permits, design and construction work including all constraints related to Project permits, Utilities, and allowable roadway closures.
21 22 23 24	Goal 1b. A narrative describing the Proposer's approach to minimize noise, vibration, dust, construction lighting, and other impacts to local residents, business, and communities. The narrative shall address the following:
25 26 27	 Plan to implement temporary noise shields, types/duration of equipment, hours of operations, and other mitigation measures proposed to reduce noise impacts to neighborhoods.
28	Plan to reduce vibration
29	Plan to reduce dust
30	 Plan to reduce construction lighting impacts
31 32	 Plan for how the Proposer will manage and resolve citizen complaints and potential property damage and tort claims
33 34	 Plan to minimize other impacts to local residents, businesses and events
35 36	Evaluation Criteria: This Project goal is worth \$25,000,000 in Technical Credits.
37 38 39	Of the \$25,000,000 Technical Credits available for this Section, \$11,000,000 of the Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 1a. A plan that generally meets the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of

- the Good range. A plan deemed by the evaluation committee to exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very Good or Excellent.
- Of the \$25,000,000 Technical Credits available for this Section, \$9,000,000 of the Technical Credits will be allocated for commitment to reducing the allowable number and/or duration of the allowable closures for all roadway segments, as listed in TR Section 2.22, *Maintenance of Traffic*.
 - The Proposer shall enter the proposed and committed reductions to the closures listed in Form T, providing the following:
 - U1: Reduction in the number of one-lane weekend lane closures allowed for any Segment shown in TR Sections 2.22.4.3.2.1, 2.22.4.3.2.2, and 2.22.4.3.2.3 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of one-lane weekend lane closures, shall not exceed 7 reductions of one-lane weekend lane closures.
 - U2: Reduction in the number of two-lane weekend lane closures allowed for any Segment shown in TR Sections 2.22.4.3.2.1, 2.22.4.3.2.2, and 2.22.4.3.2.3 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of two-lane weekend lane closures, shall not exceed 11 reductions of two-lane weekend lane closures.
 - U3: Reduction in the number of three-lane weekend lane closures allowed for any Segment shown in TR Sections 2.22.4.3.2.1, 2.22.4.3.2.2, and 2.22.4.3.2.3 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of three-lane weekend lane closures, shall not exceed 2 reductions of three-lane weekend lane closures.
 - V: Reduction in the number of weekend roadway closures shown in the in TR Section 2.22.4.3.3.1 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of weekend roadway closures, shall not exceed 10 reductions of weekend roadway closures.
 - W: Reduction in the southbound I-5 linear long-term closure durations for any Segment shown in TR Sections 2.22.4.3.3.2.1, 2.22.4.3.3.2.2, and 2.22.4.3.3.2.3 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of southbound I-5 linear long-term closure durations, shall not exceed 250 Calendar Days reduction of closure duration.
 - X: Reduction in the northbound I-5 linear long-term closure durations for any Segment shown in Sections 2.22.4.3.3.2.2 and 2.22.4.3.3.2.3 tables. The Proposer's commitment for the maximum number of northbound I-5 linear long-term closure durations shall not exceed 250 Calendar Days reduction of closure duration.
 - **Z**: Reduction in the number of entrance and exit ramp closures, for all ramp locations shown TR Section 2.22.4.3.5 table. The Proposer's commitment to reduce the number of entrance and exit ramps closures, shall not exceed 50 of entrance and exit ramp closures.

- The proposed and committed reductions to the closures listed in Form T will be scored quantitively as follows:
- 3 Technical Credits = $(U1 \times \$60,000) + (U2 \times \$120,000) + (U3 \times \$130,000) + (V \times \$500,000) + (W \times \$2,000) + (X \times \$2,000) + (Z \times \$20,000)$
- Of the \$25,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section, \$5,000,000
 Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 1b. A plan that
 generally meets the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the
 Good range. An approach and plan deemed by the evaluation committee to
 exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very
 Good or Excellent.***

3.3.5 ***Goal 2: Communications with the Public (Section 3)

WSDOT seeks a Design-Builder with a proactive approach to communicating with the public to provide timely and effective communications about the Project construction Work. A description of this Project goal is provided in Section 1.3.4 of this ITP.

Submit

 The Proposer shall submit the following in support of this Project goal:

- Goal 2a. A narrative to include procedures, tools, strategies, and techniques the Proposer will use to ensure timely and effective notification to the travelling public, residents, businesses, Local Agencies, community groups, schools, transit agencies, and emergency services of Project construction Work, freeway, lanes and ramp closures, traffic delays, alternate routes, and noise impacts.
- Goal 2b. Procedures, tools, strategies, and techniques the Proposer will use to respond to public comments regarding noise impacts or impacts from vibration and dust control.

Evaluation Criteria

The Proposer's approach to the Communications with the Public goal will be evaluated as follows:

- Of the \$10,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section, \$5,000,000 in Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 2a based on the Proposer's specific approach and plan in support of the Project goal. An approach that generally meets the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the Good range. An approach and plan deemed by the evaluation committee to exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very Good or Excellent.
- Of the \$10,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section, \$5,000,000 in Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 2b based on the Proposer's procedures, tools, strategies, and techniques that will be used in support of the Project goal and to respond to public comments regarding noise

impacts or impacts from vibration and dust control. An approach and plans that generally meet the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the Good range. An approach and plan deemed by the evaluation committee to exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very Good or Excellent.***

3.3.6 ***Goal 3: Project Management (Section 4)

WSDOT seeks a Design Builder that recognizes the benefits of collaborating with WSDOT to identify and resolve Project-related issues at the lowest level, and the value of implementing a partnering relationship with Project stakeholders during all phases of the Project from startup, design, construction and through the closeout of the Project. A description of this Project goal is provided in Section 1.3.4 of this ITP.

Submit

 The Proposer shall submit the following in support of this Project goal:

Goal 3a.

- i. A narrative that describes the Proposer's collaborative process for proactively and effectively managing issues and risk items at the Project level that can be maintained throughout the life of the Project.
- ii. A risk management plan that identifies specific risks to assure a successful delivery of this Project. The risk management plan shall identify the owner of each risk and shall discuss approaches and strategies that the Design-Build team will employ to manage these risks.
- Goal 3b. Provide a plan for removing people experiencing homelessness within the Project limits that shall implement the State, King County, and City of Seattle requirements for illegal encampment removal.

Evaluation Criteria:

The Proposer's approach to the Project Management goal will be evaluated as follows:

- Of the \$15,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section, \$12,000,000 in Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 3a. A plan and approach that generally meet the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the Good range. An approach and plan deemed by the evaluation committee to exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very Good or Excellent.
- Of the \$15,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section, \$3,000,000 in Technical Credits will be scored adjectively against Project goal 3b. A plan that that generally meets the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the Good range. A plan deemed by the evaluation committee to exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very Good or Excellent.***

3.3.7 ***Goal 4: Project Safety (Section 5) 1 2 WSDOT seeks a Design-Builder with a proactive approach to public and Project worker safety. A description of this Project goal is provided in Section 1.3.4 of 3 this ITP. 4 5 Submit 6 The Proposer shall submit the following in support of this Project goal: 7 A narrative that describes the Proposer's plan for implementing a safety program to ensure the safety of both the public and Project worker during all 8 9 phases of the Project. 10 **Evaluation Criteria** 11 The Proposer's approach to the Project Safety goal will be evaluated as follows: \$10,000,000 in Technical Credits available for this Section will be scored 12 13 adjectively against Project Goal 4, based on the Proposer's specific safety plan 14 and approach in support of this Project goal. 15 A safety plan that generally meets the RFP requirements will receive a rating in the middle of the Good range. A plan deemed by the evaluation committee to 16 exceed the RFP requirements in a beneficial way may receive a rating of Very 17 Good or Excellent.*** 18 3.3.8 19 **Appendix A - Proposer Information and Certifications** 20 The Proposer shall provide the following forms and other information in 21 Appendix A of the Proposal: 22 1. Form A, Design-Build Proposal Form and Signature Page. If the Proposer 23 is a joint venture, Form A must be executed by all joint venture members. 24 2. Form D, Contract Time/Milestone Completion Deadlines. Form D 25 includes a blank entitled "Contract Time Bid" to be filled in by the Proposer for the purpose of establishing the deadline for Substantial 26 27 Completion of the Project. The number of Calendar Days entered for "Contract Time Bid" on Form D will be used in Section 4.2 of the 28 29 Contract Form to establish the Contract Time. The Proposer shall 30 determine the number of Calendar Days to be included for "Contract Time Bid" on Form D pursuant to its plan for performance of the Work, taking 31 32 into account the liquidated damages provided in the Contract. The number of days to be shown shall start on the first Calendar Day after 33 34 NTP and shall end on the scheduled date of Substantial Completion. All 35 requirements of the Contract shall be considered in determining the 36 number of Calendar Days shown for Contract Time Bid on Form D. In 37 making such determination, the Proposer shall adjust the resources and 38 rates of production so that Substantial Completion is accomplished no later than *** July 7, 2027***. 39

If the Proposer fails to complete and submit Form D or fails to submit a bid for the Contract Time bid item, WSDOT will consider the bid nonresponsive.

The Milestone Completion Deadlines provided on Form D will be considered as commitments by the Proposer in the execution of the Contract. The milestones provided and the proposed duration from NTP will be considered in the evaluation as described in Section 3.3.4 of this ITP.

- 3. Form E, *Identification of Proposer, Guarantors, Major Participants, Earthwork Subcontractors, Structures Subcontractors, and Each Subconsultant and Subcontractor performing 20 Percent or more of the Design-Build Work.* The Design-Builder shall verify that all Subcontractors listed are confirmed (not suspended or debarred), in accordance with 48 CFR § 9.4, DOT Order 4200.5E and the WSDOT *Construction Manual* (Appendix D).
- 4. Description of Legal Structure A detailed description of the legal structure of the entity making the Proposal. If the Proposer is a partnership or joint venture, the Proposer shall provide the full names and addresses of all partners or joint ventures, identify the equity ownership interest of each entity, and provide formation and organization information for each general partner or joint venture. If the Proposer is a limited liability entity, the Proposer shall provide full names and addresses of all equity holders in the limited liability entity and identify any entities that are financially responsible in any way for the limited liability entity. If the Proposer has not previously submitted final legal structure organizational documents, the Proposer shall provide said documents to the Proposal in this Section. Failure to submit final legal structure organizational documents to WSDOT either prior to or with the Proposal shall render the Proposal nonresponsive.
- 5. Form G, Non-Collusion Declaration
- 6. Form H, Certification for Federal-Aid Contracts
- 7. Form K, *Form of Guaranty*. If the Proposer is a limited liability entity, the Proposer shall include a commitment letter from each of the equity holders of the Proposer, agreeing to provide a guaranty of the Proposer's obligations on Form K.
- 8. Form L, *Utility Certification*. The Proposer shall complete Form L.
- 9. Evidence of Authorization Evidence of authorization to execute and deliver the Proposal and the Contract. If such authorization arises out of one or more powers of attorney, copies of the powers of attorney shall be provided as well as certified copies of the appropriate resolutions from the applicable entities' governing bodies authorizing said powers of attorney. If the Proposer is a partnership or a joint venture, such evidence shall be

- provided for the Proposer and for each of its general partners/joint venture members, at all tiers, and in all cases certified by an appropriate officer.
 - 10. Information and Work Site Certification A certified statement that the Proposer has, prior to submitting its Proposal, in accordance with generally accepted engineering and construction practices, reviewed the Reference Documents and other information provided by WSDOT; inspected and examined the Site and surrounding locations; and undertaken other appropriate activities sufficient to familiarize itself with surface conditions and subsurface conditions that are discernible from the surface and affect the Project, to the extent the Proposer deemed necessary or advisable for submittal of a Proposal. The certified statement shall specifically indicate that as a result of such review, inspection, examination, and other activities, the Proposer is familiar with and accepts the Site and the physical requirements of the Work.
 - 11. Certification Regarding Changes to Key Personnel and Major Participants

 A signed statement that the Key Personnel and Major Participants
 identified on the Proposer's SOQ have not changed. Alternatively, the
 Proposer may provide a WSDOT approval letter regarding any such
 changes to Key Personnel or Major Participants.
 - 12. Certification Regarding Right of Way A signed statement that the Proposer will construct the Work within the ROW identified in the RFP. Alternatively, the Proposer may provide a WSDOT approval letter regarding any ATC that contemplates construction of the Work outside of the ROW identified in the RFP.
 - 13. Form M, Stipend Agreement. The Proposer shall complete Form M.
 - 14. Form N, Stipend Invoice. The Proposer shall complete Form N.
 - 15. Form Q, Option for Use of WSDOT-Owned Property. The Proposer shall complete Form Q indicating its election to use the available WSDOT-owned property at ***I-5 Potential Staging Areas, Appendix R***; or to decline the exercise of such option. If the Proposer indicates "yes" on Form Q submitted with its Proposal, the Proposer shall utilize the property in accordance with the Contract. If the Proposer indicates "no" on Form Q submitted with its Proposal, the Proposer is declining to use the WSDOT-owned property. In the event the Proposer fails to mark "yes" or "no" on Form Q, or if the Proposer fails to submit Form Q with the Proposal, it shall be deemed that the Proposer has elected to decline the option to use the WSDOT-owned property.
 - 16. Form R, *Organizational Conflicts of Interest Disclosure and Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan*. The Proposer shall complete Form R.
 - 17. Form S, *Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification*. The Proposer shall complete Form S.

1 18. Form T, Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures. The Proposer shall complete Form T. 2 3.3.9 3 Appendix B – Resumes 4 If additional Project personnel are required other than Key Personnel, the 5 Proposer shall include resumes in Appendix B. Resumes shall be limited to two pages per Person. 6 7 3.3.10 Appendix C - Details of Technical Approach and Innovations 8 A complete copy of each approved ATC, if provided, shall be included in 9 Appendix C of the Proposal. Additional appendices may be used for clarification, illustrative purposes, or both. Appendices would typically consist of plan sheets or 10 other illustrative information and shall not include narrative text except as 11 specifically required by this ITP. 12 3.3.11 Appendix D - DBE Performance Plan 13 14 The Proposer shall provide a completed Form V, DBE Performance Plan 15 Template, per the instructions. The DBE Performance Plan shall not be left blank in any areas and it shall not contain any notations such as "n/a". The DBE 16 Performance Plan will used to evaluate the DBE Participation Project Goal. 17 3.3.12 Price Proposal (Section ***6***) 18 The Price Proposal shall include the information and documentation identified in 19 this Section, Section 3.1.3, and Table 3.1.2 of this ITP. 20 21 **Price Proposal** 22 A. Schedule of Items. The Proposer shall submit its Proposal Price divided into the categories identified on the Schedule of Items in BidExpress[®]. The total of 23 the Schedule of Items will be the Contract Price. 24 25 B. Proposal Bond. The Proposal shall include a Proposal Bond in accordance with Section 2.14.1 of this ITP. 26 4 **Proposal Evaluation Process** 27 28 4.1 General 29 WSDOT will award the Contract (if at all) to the responsive Proposer who has 30 complied with all of the requirements of the RFP, is technically qualified, and has the Apparent Best Value Proposal, as determined in accordance with this Section. 31 WSDOT will notify the successful Proposer and the unsuccessful Proposers. 32 4.2 Technical Evaluation Scoring Summary 33 34 The technical evaluation factors are tabulated in Table 4.2 below. Proposers may 35 be declared nonresponsive for receiving a fail for any factor that is scored 36 pass/fail (P/F). The technical evaluation score will be calculated by summing the

- Proposer's Technical Credits earned out of the Max Technical Credits column shown in Table 4.2. The sections will be evaluated against the evaluation criteria. During the evaluation process, information in any section listed in Table 4.2 of
- 4 this ITP can be used when scoring other sections.

Table 4.2 TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORING SUMMARY

TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE SHEET	MAX TECHNICAL CREDITS
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - SECTIONS ***1 THROUGH 5***:	
Section 1 - Executive Summary	P/F
Section 2 – Goal #1 Minimize Impacts	***\$25,000,000***
Section 3 – Goal #2 ***Communications with the Public***	***\$10,000,000***
Section 4 – Goal #3 ***Project Management***	***\$15,000,000***
Section 5 – Goal #4 ***Project Safety***	***\$10,000,000***
Appendix A – Proposer Information and Certifications	
Form A, Design-Build Proposal Form and Signature Page	P/F
Form D, Contract Time/Milestone Completion Deadlines	P/F
Form E, Identification of Proposer, Guarantors, Major Participants, Earthwork Subcontractors, Structures Subcontractors, and Each Subconsultant and Subcontractor Performing 20 Percent or More of the Design-Build Work	P/F
Description of Legal Structure	P/F
Form G, Non-Collusion Declaration	P/F
Form H, Certification for Federal-Aid Contracts	P/F
Form K, Form of Guaranty	P/F
Form L, Utility Certification	P/F
Evidence of Authorization	P/F
Information and Work Site Certification	P/F
Certification Regarding Changes to Key Personnel and Major Participants	P/F
Certification Regarding Right of Way	P/F
Form M, Stipend Agreement	N/A
Form N, Stipend Invoice	N/A
Form Q, Option for Use of WSDOT-Owned Property	N/A
Form R, Organizational Conflicts of Interest – Disclosure and Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan	P/F
Form S, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification	P/F
Form T, Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures	P/F

TECHNICAL EVALUATION SCORE SHEET	MAX TECHNICAL CREDITS
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - SECTIONS ***1 THROUGH 5***:	
Appendix B - Resumes	
Appendix C - Details of Technical Approach and Innovations	
Appendix D - DBE Performance Plan	P/F
PRICE PROPOSAL - SECTION ***6***	
Schedule of Items	P/F
Proposal Bond	P/F
GRAND TOTAL	***\$60,000,000***

4.3 Responsiveness and Pass/Fail Review

4.3.1 Initial Responsiveness Review

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

WSDOT will conduct an initial review of the Proposals for responsiveness to the requirements set forth in the RFP, including compliance with the P/F criteria set forth in Section 4.2 of this ITP. Any Proposal not responsive to the RFP shall be excluded from further consideration and the Proposer that submitted such Proposal will be so advised. WSDOT may also exclude from consideration any Proposer whose Proposal contains a material misrepresentation.

4.3.2 Upset Amount Determination

This section is intentionally omitted.

4.3.3 Pass/Fail Criteria Review

Proposals will be reviewed based on the following P/F criteria:

- Business form of Proposers and team members shall meet Project requirements.
- The Major Participants and Key Personnel listed in the Proposal shall not have changed since submission of its SOQ, or the Proposer shall have previously advised WSDOT of a change and received WSDOT's written approval for the change.
- Proposal bond shall have been provided in accordance with Section 2.14 of this ITP.
 - Proposer information, certifications, and documents as listed in Section 3.1.2 of this ITP are included in the Proposal and are complete, accurate, and responsive, and they do not identify any material adverse changes from the information provided in the SOQ information.
 - The Proposer has provided all other forms and documentation required by this ITP.

A Proposal must receive an initial "pass" on all P/F criteria listed in the RFP for the Proposal to be further evaluated. WSDOT may, at its sole discretion, request a Proposer to provide clarifications for purposes of determining whether the P/F criteria are met. Failure to achieve a "pass" rating on a P/F factor shall result in the Proposal being declared nonresponsive. Failure to submit information in the manner, format, and detail specified may result in the Proposal receiving a "fail" rating and being declared nonresponsive. Even though a Proposal receives an initial "pass" allowing technical evaluation to proceed, the Proposal may later be determined to have failed.

The executive summary will be reviewed as P/F, but information can be used during evaluation of other sections.

4.4 Evaluation of the Technical Proposal

WSDOT will evaluate each of the technical requirements described in Section 3 of this ITP against the evaluation criteria to determine the Proposal technical score. The technical evaluation scoring summary in Section 4.2 of this ITP identifies the maximum Technical Credits for each technical requirement.

The WSDOT evaluation committee will review each Proposal identifying significant and minor strengths, and significant and minor weaknesses. The evaluation committee may also use a "high" or "low" prefix to further differentiate the strengths or weaknesses. When, in the judgment of the evaluation committee, a Proposal element does not equate to a strength or weakness, but is being acknowledged, a "Neutral" will be identified.

Strengths and weaknesses are defined as follows:

- Strengths That part of the Proposal which ultimately represents a benefit to the Project and is expected to increase the Proposer's ability to meet or exceed the Project goals. A minor strength has a slight positive influence on the Proposer's ability to meet or exceed the Project goals, while a significant strength has a considerable positive influence on the Proposer's ability to meet or exceed the Project goals.
- Weaknesses That part of the Proposal which detracts from the Proposer's ability to meet the Project goals or may result in an inefficient or ineffective performance. A minor weakness has a slight negative influence on the Proposer's ability to meet the Project goals, while a significant weakness has a considerable negative influence on the Proposer's ability to meet the Project goals.

Based on the identified strengths and weaknesses, the evaluation team will select an adjectival rating and select a percent of maximum score in the identified range in accordance with this Section.

After selecting a percent of maximum score for each section, the Proposal score will be calculated by multiplying the percent of maximum score by the points available listed in Section 4.2 of this ITP and then summing the calculated scores.

- The following adjectival rating system will be used in determining the maximum Technical Credits for Sections of this ITP that are evaluated adjectively:
 - Excellent (75-100 percent): The section of the Proposal demonstrates an approach that is considered to significantly exceed the RFP requirements/objectives in a beneficial way (providing advantages, benefits, or added value to the Project), and provides a consistently outstanding level of quality. In order for the section of the Proposal to meet the minimum criteria to be considered "Excellent", it must be determined to have significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths and no appreciable weaknesses. The greater the significance of the strengths and the number of strengths will result in a higher percentage. There is virtually no risk that the Proposer would be unsuccessful in delivering the Project to WSDOT's satisfaction and would most likely exceed all Project goals.
 - Very Good (50-74 percent): The section of the Proposal demonstrates an approach that is considered to exceed the RFP requirements/objectives in a beneficial way (providing advantages, benefits, or added value to the Project) and offers a generally better than acceptable level of quality. In order for the section of the Proposal to meet the minimum criteria to be considered to be "Very Good", it must be determined to have strengths and no significant weaknesses. Minor weaknesses are offset by strengths. The greater the significance of the strengths and/or the number of strengths, and the fewer the minor weakness will result in a higher percentage. There is little risk that the Proposer would be unsuccessful in delivering the Project to WSDOT's satisfaction and would most likely meet and/or exceed all Project goals.
 - Good (25-49 percent): The section of the Proposal demonstrates an approach that is considered to generally meet the RFP requirements/objectives and offers an acceptable level of quality. In order for the section of the Proposal to meet the minimum criteria to be considered to be "Good", it must be determined to have strengths, even though minor and/or significant weaknesses exist. If a section of the Proposal meets RFP requirements, it will receive a "Neutral" designation. The greater the significance of the strengths and/or the number of strengths, and the fewer the minor or significant weakness will result in a higher percentage. It is expected that the Proposer would be able to deliver the Project to WSDOT's satisfaction and meet all Project goals.
 - Fair (0-24 percent): The section of the Proposal demonstrates an approach that contains minor and/or significant weaknesses and no appreciable strengths. The section of the Proposal may not meet the RFP requirements and may be determined to be nonresponsive.
- For Section ***2*** of this ITP, Technical Credits will be determined by calculation.
- WSDOT, at its sole discretion, may reject any Proposal deemed nonresponsive to the RFP.

4.5 Apparent Best Value Determination

4.5.1 Proposal Rating

Each responsive Proposal will be rated using the following equation:

Score = P - T

5 Where:

Score = Proposal rating \$P = Proposal Price

\$T = Sum of all Technical Credits earned

The Apparent Best Value Proposal will be the responsive Proposal with the lowest score from the equation above.

4.6 Best and Final Offers

If all Proposal Prices are less than or equal to the Upset Amount (see Section 4.3.2 of this ITP), WSDOT does not currently intend to request BAFOs, but reserves the right to do so. If all Proposal Prices exceed the Upset Amount, it is likely that WSDOT will request BAFOs. There may be other circumstances in which BAFOs may be requested (See Section 4.3.2 of this ITP).

5 Contract Award and Execution

Following selection of a Proposer by WSDOT and verification that the Proposer has complied with the requirements of the RFP, WSDOT will send an execution copy of the Contract to the selected Proposer. To be awarded the Contract, the selected Proposer must satisfy WSDOT's Contract award requirements by executing and delivering the Contract together with all other required documents described below, within 20 Calendar Days of receipt of the execution copy of the Contract from WSDOT. WSDOT will return to the Design-Builder one copy of the Contract executed by WSDOT within 10 Calendar Days of receipt of all required documents from the Proposer:

- Executed Contract
- Evidence of authorization to execute the Contract, in the form of a certified resolution of the governing body of Proposer expressly stating such body's authorization to execute the Contract and, if the Proposer is a partnership, joint venture, unincorporated association, or limited liability company, of the governing bodies of the entity's partners or members.
- Contract Bond issued by the Surety listed in the Proposal, or an equivalent Surety meeting the requirements set forth in Section 2.14 of this ITP.
- The insurance policies, endorsements, and certificates (including Form U, *Professional Liability Insurance Certification*) required under Section 1-07.18 of the *General Provisions*.

- Evidence that the Proposer, its Major Participants, and other identified
 Subcontractors hold all licenses as of award necessary to perform the Work.
 - If applicable, the guaranties in the provided Form K, together with appropriate evidence of authorization thereof.
 - WSDOT Form 421-013, *Transit Bicycle Pedestrian Contractor's Cost Estimate* with the Design-Builder's costs for transit, bicycle, and pedestrian Work

5.1 Debriefing

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

30

31

32

Within 14 Calendar Days after execution and delivery of the Contract, WSDOT will be available for an oral debriefing session. Only Proposers who submit a responsive Proposal may request an optional debriefing to discuss the evaluation of their Proposal. A written request must be made to the WSDOT Technical Point of Contact by an authorized representative of an unsuccessful Proposer.

5.2 Proposal Evaluation

Within 7 Calendar Days after the announcement of Apparent Best Value Proposer, WSDOT will email each Proposer the scoring summary and summarized evaluator comments of their Proposal.

6 Practical Design Workshop

Within 7 Calendar Days of Contract execution, and prior to issuing NTP, the parties will discuss and potentially initiate a Practical Design Workshop (PDW). During the PDW, the Design-Builder and WSDOT will bring together key Project management and technical staff to collaboratively identify practical design opportunities that may reduce Project cost, shorten duration, or reduce risk while satisfying the Project's purpose and need.

7 Proposer Stipends

- WSDOT will pay a stipend of ***\$825,000*** to all Proposers who submit a Form M, *Stipend Agreement*; Form N, *Stipend Invoice*, and responsive Proposal.
- The stipend will be paid within 45 Calendar Days after award of the Contract or the decision not to award a Contract.
 - No Proposer shall be entitled to reimbursement of any of its costs in connection with the RFP except as specified in this Section. A Proposer that has submitted a nonresponsive Proposal will not earn a stipend.
- In consideration for paying the stipend, WSDOT reserves the right to use any ideas or information contained in all Proposals for this Project or with any subsequent procurement, without any obligation to pay any additional compensation to the respective Proposer. Each Proposer acknowledges that WSDOT will have the right to inform the Design-Builder, after award, regarding the contents of all Proposals for which stipends have been (or will be) paid, for

the purpose of allowing concepts to be reviewed by the selected Design-Builder and incorporated into the Contract as deemed advisable. WSDOT will provide the Design-Builder with all the ATCs as soon as practicable. The Design-Builder may elect to incorporate these ATCs into the Contract in accordance with Section 1-04.4 of the *General Provisions*. Furthermore, upon the Proposers' receipt of payment, the right to use such Work product will extend to other projects undertaken by WSDOT, as WSDOT deems appropriate. However, WSDOT acknowledges that the use of any of the Work product by WSDOT or the Design-Builder is at the sole risk and discretion of WSDOT and the Design-Builder, and it will in no way be deemed to confer liability on the unsuccessful Proposer.

7.1 Proprietary or Confidential Information

If the Proposer elects not to accept a stipend, they may clearly designate any information contained in the Proposal that is proprietary or confidential. Marking of the entire Proposal or entire sections of the Proposal as proprietary or confidential will not be accepted nor honored.

To the extent consistent with RCW 42.56, the Public Records Act, WSDOT shall maintain the confidentiality of the Proposer's information marked confidential or proprietary. If a request is made to view a Proposer's Proposal, WSDOT will notify the Proposer of the request and date that the records will be released to the requester unless the Proposer obtains a court order enjoining that disclosure. If the Proposer fails to obtain the court order enjoining the disclosure, WSDOT will release the requested information on the date specified.

The State's sole responsibility shall be limited to maintaining the above data in a secure area and to notifying the Proposer of any request(s) for disclosure for so long as WSDOT retains the Proposer's information in WSDOT records. Failure to so label such materials or failure to timely respond after notice of request for public record has been given shall be deemed a waiver by the Proposer of any claim that such materials are exempt from disclosure.

8 Protests

8.1 Protest Procedures

This Section sets forth the exclusive protest remedies available with respect to the RFP. Each Proposer, by submitting its Proposal, expressly recognizes the limitation on its rights to protest contained herein, expressly waives all other rights and remedies, and agrees that the decision on any protest, as provided herein, shall be final and conclusive and not subject to legal challenge unless wholly arbitrary. These protest procedures are included in the RFP expressly in consideration for such waiver and agreement by the Proposers. Such waiver and agreement by each Proposer are also consideration to each other Proposer for making the same waiver and agreement.

All protests and related materials shall be filed in writing, by email, to the Protest Official. The email subject line shall be "Protest for [Contract Name and Contract Number]". The Protest Official is identified as:

Jenna Kemp WSDOT Contract Ad & Award Office caa@wsdot.wa.gov

If a Proposer disregards, disputes, or does not follow the exclusive protest remedies set forth in the RFP, it shall indemnify, defend, protect, and hold harmless WSDOT, its officers, officials, employees, agents, representatives, and consultants from and against all liabilities, expenses, costs (including attorneys' fees and costs), fees, and damages incurred or suffered as a result. The submission of a Proposal by a Proposer shall be deemed the Proposer's irrevocable and unconditional agreement with such indemnification obligation.

8.1.1 Protests Regarding Request for Proposal Documents

The Proposer may protest the terms of the RFP prior to the time for submission of Proposals on the grounds that (a) a material provision in the RFP is ambiguous; (b) any aspect of the procurement process described herein is contrary to legal requirements applicable to this procurement; or (c) the RFP in whole or in part exceeds the authority of WSDOT. Protests regarding the RFP shall be filed only after the Proposer has submitted a written request for clarification prior to the Proposal Due Date set forth in Section 1 of this ITP in an effort to remove the grounds for protest.

Protests regarding the RFP shall completely and succinctly state the grounds for protest and shall include all factual and legal documentation in sufficient detail to establish the merits of the protest. Evidentiary statements, if any, shall be submitted under penalty of perjury.

Protests regarding the RFP shall be filed as soon as the basis for protest is known to the Proposer, but in any event it must be actually received by no later than 4:59:59 p.m., PT, on the 10th Calendar Day before the Proposal Due Date, provided that protests regarding an addendum to the RFP shall be filed and actually received no later than 4:59:59 p.m., PT, on the 5th Calendar Day after the addendum to the RFP is issued (or no later than the Proposal Due Date, if earlier).

WSDOT will distribute copies of the protest to the other Proposers and may, but need not, request other Proposers to submit statements or arguments regarding the protest and may, at its sole discretion, discuss the protest with the protesting Proposer. If other Proposers are requested to submit statements or arguments, they may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the protest within 7 Calendar Days of the request, and such responses shall be received no later than 4:59:59 p.m., PT, on the 7th Calendar Day after the request.

The protesting Proposer shall have the burden of proving its protest by clear and convincing evidence. No hearing will be held on the protest unless the Protest Official or their designee agrees to a hearing. The Protest Official or their designee will decide the protest on the basis of the written submissions within

- 15 Calendar Days after the Protest Official receives the protest. The Protest
 2 Official will furnish copies of the decision in writing to the WSDOT Technical
 3 Point of Contact and each Proposer. The decision shall be final and conclusive
 4 and not subject to legal challenge unless wholly arbitrary. If necessary to address
 5 the issues raised in the protest, WSDOT will make appropriate revisions to the
 6 RFP by issuing addenda. WSDOT may, in its sole discretion, extend the Proposal
 7 Due Date.
- Notwithstanding the existence of a protest, WSDOT may, in its sole discretion, continue the procurement process or any portion thereof.
- The failure of a Proposer to raise a ground for a protest regarding the RFP within the applicable period shall constitute an unconditional waiver of the right to protest the terms of the RFP and shall preclude consideration of that ground in any protest unless such ground was not and could not have been known to the Proposer in time to protest prior to the final date for such protests.

8.1.2 Protests Regarding Responsiveness Determination or Award

A Proposer may protest any determination by WSDOT regarding lack of responsiveness or any award made by WSDOT by filing a written notice of protest by email to the Protest Official. The email subject line shall be "Protest for [Contract Name and Contract Number]". WSDOT will distribute copies of the protest to the other Proposers. The notice of protest shall specifically state the grounds of the protest.

- Notice of protest of any nonresponsiveness determination must be filed by no later than 4:59:59 p.m., PT, on the 5th Calendar Day after the notification of nonresponsiveness. Notice of protest of any award by WSDOT must be filed within 9 Calendar Days after WSDOT's opening of the Price Proposals (announcement of Apparent Best Value Proposer).
- By no later than 4:59 p.m., PT, on the 7th Calendar Day of the notice of protest, the protesting Proposer must file with the Protest Official, a detailed statement of the grounds, legal authorities, and facts, including all documents and evidentiary statements, in support of the protest. Evidentiary statements, if any, shall be submitted under penalty of perjury. The protesting Proposer shall have the burden of proving its protest by clear and convincing evidence.
 - Failure to file a notice of protest or a detailed statement within the applicable period shall constitute an unconditional waiver of the right to protest the evaluation or qualification process and decisions thereunder, other than any protest based on facts not reasonably ascertainable as of such date.
- The other Proposers may file by email to the Protest Official, with a copy to the protesting Proposer, a statement in support of or in opposition to the protest. The email subject line shall be "Protest for [Contract Name and Contract Number]".

 Such statements must be filed within 7 Calendar Days after the protesting
- Proposer files its detailed statement of protest.

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

Unless otherwise required by law, no evidentiary hearing or oral argument shall be provided, except, in the sole discretion of the Protest Official or his designee, a hearing or argument may be permitted if necessary for protection of the public interest or an expressed, legally recognized interest of a Proposer or WSDOT. The Protest Official or their designee will issue a written decision regarding the protest within 15 Calendar Days after the Protest Official receives the detailed statement of protest. Such decision shall be final and conclusive and not subject to legal challenge unless wholly arbitrary. The Protest Official or his designee will deliver the written decision to the WSDOT Technical Point of Contact and each Proposer.

If the Protest Official or his designee concludes that the Proposer filing the protest has established a basis for protest, the Protest Official or his designee will determine what remedial steps, if any, are necessary or appropriate to address the issues raised in the protest. Such steps may include, without limitation, withdrawing or revising the decisions, issuing a new RFP, or taking other appropriate actions.

8.2 Judicial Review

Any decision made by WSDOT regarding the award and execution of the Contract or Proposal rejection shall be conclusive subject to the scope of judicial review permitted under Washington Law. Documents requesting such review, if any, shall be timely filed in the Superior Court of Thurston County, Washington.

End of Instructions to Proposers

1		PROPOSAL FORMS
2	Form A	Design-Build Proposal Form and Signature Page
3	Form D	Contract Time/Milestone Completion Deadlines
4 5 6	Form E	Identification of Proposer, Guarantors, Major Participants, Earthwork Subcontractors, Structures Subcontractors and Each Subconsultant and Subcontractor Performing 20 Percent or More of the Design-Build Work
7	Form G	Non-Collusion Declaration
8	Form H	Certification for Federal-Aid Contracts
9	Form K	Form of Guaranty
10	Form L	Utility Certification
11	Form M	Stipend Agreement
12	Form N	Stipend Invoice
13	Form Q	Option for Use Of WSDOT-Owned Property
14 15	Form R	Organizational Conflicts of Interest – Disclosure and Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan
16	Form S	Organizational Conflicts of Interest Certification
17	Form T	Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures
18	Form U	Professional Liability Insurance Certification
19	Form V	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Performance (DBE) Plan Template

1 FORM A 2 DESIGN-BUILD PROPOSAL FORM AND SIGNATURE PAGE 3 **Washington State Department of Transportation Design-Build Request for Proposal** 4 5 ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project*** 6 PROPOSER: 7 8 Proposal Date: 9 WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 10 Jenna Kemp WSDOT Contract Ad & Award Office 11 12 Transportation Building, Room 2D-20 310 Maple Park Avenue SE 13 Olympia, WA 98501-7360 14 15 The undersigned ("Proposer") submits this Proposal (the "Proposal") in accordance with the Instructions to Proposers (ITP) contained in the Request for Proposal (RFP) issued by 16 17 Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), dated ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints*** Project 18 (the "Project"). Initially capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the 19 20 meanings set forth in the ITP. If the Proposer is a joint venture, or Limited Liability 21 Company (LLC), the agreements, acknowledgments, certifications, representations, duties and obligations of the Proposer under the Proposal, and if awarded, under the Contract, 22 23 shall be deemed made jointly and severally by each joint venture or LLC member. 24 1) In consideration for WSDOT supplying us, at our request, with the RFP 25 documents and agreeing to examine and consider this Proposal, the undersigned 26 agrees: to keep this Proposal open for acceptance for 90 Calendar Days after the Proposal 27 28 Due Date without any member or partner withdrawing or any other change being made in the Proposer's organization, unless WSDOT has agreed in its sole discretion 29 and in writing to such change or withdrawal; and 30 if this Proposal is accepted, to provide the Contract Bond securing the due 31 performance of the design-build Contract ("Contract") as stipulated in the Contract 32 and the RFP. 33 34 2) If selected by WSDOT, Proposer agrees to (a) execute the Contract to design and construct the Project in accordance with the Contract Documents and (b) to 35 provide all documents and satisfy all other requirements set forth in Section 5 of 36 37 this ITP. 3) Enclosed herewith, and by this reference incorporated herein and made a part of 38 39 this Proposal, are the following: 40 Section 1 Executive Summary

Section 2 ***Minimize Impacts*** 1 2 Section 3 ***Communications with the Public*** Section 4 ***Project Management*** 3 Section 5 ***Project Safety*** 4 5 Appendix A Appendix B 6 7 Appendix C 8 Appendix D 9 4) Proposer acknowledges receipt, understanding, and full consideration of all RFP 10 documents, other documents identified on the Project website (www.wsdot.wa.gov) and the following addenda to the RFP: 11 12 • [*List addenda, if applicable*] Proposer certifies that it has carefully examined and is fully familiar with the 13 RFP documents and is satisfied that such provisions provide sufficient detail 14 regarding the Work and the other obligations of the Design-Builder under the 15 Contract and do not contain internal inconsistencies; that it has carefully checked 16 all the words, figures, and statements in its Proposal; that it has conducted such 17 other field investigations and additional design development which are prudent 18 and reasonable in preparing this Proposal, including a thorough review of all of 19 20 the RFP documents; and that it has notified WSDOT of any deficiencies in or 21 omissions from any RFP documents or other documents provided by WSDOT 22 and of any unusual Site conditions observed prior to the date hereof. 23 6) Proposer agrees that its SOQ, as modified by this Proposal, is incorporated into this Proposal as if fully set forth herein. Proposer certifies that each, every, and all 24 of the representations made by Proposer in this Proposal are true and correct. 25 7) Proposer understands that WSDOT is not bound to accept the lowest priced 26 27 Proposal or any Proposal. 8) Proposer further understands that all expenses incurred by it in preparing this 28 29 Proposal and participating in the RFP process will be borne solely by the Proposer, except to the extent that the Proposer receives the Stipend as provided 30 for in the RFP. 31 32 9) Proposer agrees that WSDOT will not be responsible for any errors, omissions, 33 inaccuracies, or incomplete statements in this Proposal. 10) This Proposal shall be governed by and construed in all respects according to the 34 laws of the State of Washington. 35 36 The undersigned affirms that the information provided herein is true and accurate and that 37 any misrepresentations are made under penalty of perjury. 38 Dated 39 Proposer

1 2 3	org	sert name of the Proposer, identify the type of organization and State or country of ganization, and if the Proposer is a joint venture provide signature blocks for each nt venture member.]
4	[In	sert appropriate signature block from below.]
5	1)	Sample signature block for corporation or LLC:
6		[Insert the Proposer's name]
7		By:
8		Name:
9		Title:
10	2)	Sample signature block for partnership or joint venture:
11		[Insert the Proposer's name]
12		By: [Insert general partner's or member's name]
13		Name:
14		Title:
15		By:
16		Print Name:
17		Title:
18 19 20		[Add signatures of additional general partners or members as appropriate. If Proposer is a joint venture, all joint venture members must individually execute this document.]
21	3)	Sample signature block for attorney in fact:
22		[Insert the Proposer's name]
23		By:
24		Name:
25		Attorney in Fact:

1	FORM I)	
2	CONTRACT TIME/MILESTONE C	COMPLETION DEADLINES	
3 4 5 6	Washington State Department of Transportation Design-Build Request for Proposal ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project***		
7	Name of Proposer:		
8			
	Milestones	Milestone Completion Deadlines	
		Proposed Duration (Calendar Days from Notice to Proceed)	
	A. Final Configuration Open to Traffic ⁽¹⁾	ACalendar Days	
9 10 11 12 13	Notes: 1) Final Configuration Open to Traffic is in their final configuration, open to traincidental Work remain for Substantia	affic, and only final striping and other	

FORM E

DENTIFICATION OF PROPOSER, GUARANTORS, MAJOR PARTICIPANTS,

EARTHWORK SUBCONTRACTORS, STRUCTURES SUBCONTRACTORS

AND EACH SUBCONSULTANT AND SUBCONTRACTOR PERFORMING

PERCENT OR MORE OF THE DESIGN-BUILD WORK

Washington State Department of Transportation

Design-Build Request for Proposal ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement,

Deck, and Expansion Joints Project***

NAME OF ENTITY AND CONTACT INFORMATION (address, representative, phone, fax, email)	ROLE IN ORGANIZATION (e.g., Proposer, Equity Owner in Proposer, Guarantor, Other Major Participant or Subcontractor	State of Washington Contractor License and License Limit (provide copies)	Description of Work/Services

9 10 11	1	that all Subcontractors that all Subcontractors with 48 CFR § 9.4 al.		` 1
12 13 14 15	that the Proposer ha	s not entered into any	substantive negotia cipant" resulting in	s true and correct and tions with any entity that an agreement to enter hose listed above.
16 17	1	alty of perjury under to on is true and correct.	he laws of the State	of Washington that the
18	Signature:			
19	Name:			
20	Title:			

FORM G 1 2 NON-COLLUSION DECLARATION 3 4 Failure to return this Declaration as part of the bid Proposal package will make the bid nonresponsive and ineligible for award. 5 6 I, by signing the Proposal, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the 7 United States that the following statements are true and correct: 8 1. That the undersigned Person(s), firm, association or corporation has (have) not, 9 either directly or indirectly, entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion, or otherwise taken any action in restraint of free competitive bidding in 10 connection with the Project for which this Proposal is submitted. 11 12 2. That by signing the signature page of this Proposal, I am deemed to have signed and to have agreed to the provisions of this declaration. 13 14 NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS 15 To report rigging activities call: 16 1-800-424-9071 17 The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) operates the above toll-free hotline Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time. Anyone with 18 19 knowledge of possible bid rigging, bidder collusion, or other fraudulent activities 20 shall use the hotline to report such activities. 21 The hotline is part of USDOT's continuing effort to identify and investigate highway 22 construction contract fraud and abuse and is operated under the direction of the 23 USDOT Inspector General. All information will be treated confidentially and caller 24 anonymity will be respected.

FORM H 1 2 **CERTIFICATION FOR FEDERAL-AID CONTRACTS** 3 The prospective participant certifies by signing and submitting this bid or Proposal, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that: 4 5 (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of 6 the undersigned, to any Person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of 7 8 Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding 9 of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, 10 11 renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or 12 cooperative agreement. (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid 13 14 to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any 15 Federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, 16 17 loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard 18 Form-LLL, *Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying*, in accordance with its instructions. 19 This certification is material representation of the fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this 20 certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by 21 22 Section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any Person who fails to file the required 23 certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each failure. 24 25 The prospective participant also agrees by submitting their bid or Proposal that they shall require that the language of this certification be included in all lower tier 26 Subcontracts, which exceed \$100,000 and that all such subrecipients shall certify and 27 28 disclose accordingly.

	FORM K
۹	FORM OF GUARANTY Washington State Department of Transportation Design-Build Request for Proposal ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints
	Project*** THIS GUARANTY (this "Guaranty") is made as of,
	RECITALS
1.	Design-Builder"), and WSDOT are parties to that certain Design-Build Contract dated ("Contract") pursuant to which the Design-Builder has agreed to design and construct the Project. Initially capitalized terms used herein without definition will have the meaning given such terms in the Contract.
2.	To induce WSDOT to (i) enter into the Contract; and (ii) consummate the transactions contemplated thereby, Guarantor has agreed to enter into this Guaranty.
3.	Design-Builder is a [describe relationship with Guarantor]. The execution of the Contract by WSDOT and the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby will materially benefit Guarantor. Without this Guaranty, WSDOT would not have entered into the Contract with Design-Builder. Therefore, in consideration of WSDOT's execution of the Contract and consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby, Guarantor has agreed to execute this Guaranty.
	NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing Recitals, and for other ood and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby eknowledged, Guarantor agrees as follows:
1.	Guaranty. Guarantor guarantees to WSDOT and its successors and assigns the full and prompt payment and performance when due of all of the obligations of the Design-Builder arising out of, in connection with, under or related to (i) the Contract as amended or supplemented (and the documents executed or to be executed in connection therewith), and (ii) each and every other document and agreement executed by the Design-Builder in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Contract (the documents described in clauses (i)-(ii) shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Project Documents"). The obligations guaranteed pursuant to this Guaranty are collectively referred to herein as the "Guaranteed Obligations."
2.	Unconditional Obligations. This Guaranty is a guaranty of payment and performance and not of collection and is an absolute, unconditional, and

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

irrevocable guarantee of the full and prompt payment and performance when due of all of the Guaranteed Obligations, whether or not from time to time reduced or extinguished or hereafter increased or incurred, whether or not recovery may be, or hereafter may become, barred by any statute of limitations or otherwise, and whether or not enforceable against the Design-Builder. If any payment made by the Design-Builder or any other Person and applied to the Guaranteed Obligations is at any time annulled, set aside, rescinded, invalidated, declared to be fraudulent or preferential, or otherwise required to be repaid or refunded, then, to the extent of such payment or repayment, the liability of Guarantor will be and remain in full force and effect as fully as if such payment had never been made. Guarantor covenants that this Guaranty will not be fulfilled or discharged, except by the complete payment and performance of the Guaranteed Obligations, whether by the primary obligor or Guarantor under this Guaranty. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Guarantor's obligations hereunder will not be released, discharged, or otherwise affected by (i) any change in the Project Documents or the obligations thereunder, or any insolvency, bankruptcy, or similar proceeding affecting the Design-Builder, Guarantor, or their respective assets, and (ii) the existence of any claim or set-off which the Design-Builder has or Guarantor may have against WSDOT, whether in connection with this Guaranty or any unrelated transaction, provided that nothing in this Guaranty will be deemed a waiver by Guarantor of any claim or prevent the assertion of any claim by separate suit. This Guaranty will in all respects be a continuing, absolute, and unconditional guaranty irrespective of the genuineness, validity, regularity, or enforceability of the Guaranteed Obligations or any part thereof or any instrument or agreement evidencing any of the Guaranteed Obligations or relating thereto, or the existence, validity, enforceability, perfection, or extent of any collateral therefore or any other circumstances relating to the Guaranteed Obligations which might otherwise constitute a defense to the Guaranteed Obligations of this Guaranty.

- 3. **Independent Obligations.** Guarantor agrees that the Guaranteed Obligations are independent of the obligations of the Design-Builder and if any default occurs hereunder, a separate action or actions may be brought and prosecuted against Guarantor whether or not the Design-Builder is joined therein. WSDOT may maintain successive actions for other defaults of Guarantor. WSDOT's rights hereunder will not be exhausted by the exercise of any of its rights or remedies or by any such action or by any number of successive actions until and unless all Guaranteed Obligations have been paid and fully performed.
 - a. Guarantor agrees that WSDOT may enforce this Guaranty, at any time and from time to time, without the necessity of resorting to or exhausting any security or collateral and without the necessity of proceeding against the Design-Builder. Guarantor hereby waives the right to require WSDOT to proceed against the Design-Builder, to exercise any right or remedy under any of the Project Documents or to pursue any other remedy or to enforce any other right.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

- b. Guarantor will continue to be subject to this Guaranty notwithstanding: (i) any modification, agreement, or stipulation between the Design-Builder and WSDOT or their respective successors and assigns, with respect to any of the Project Documents or the Guaranteed Obligations; (ii) any waiver of or failure to enforce any of the terms, covenants, or conditions contained in any of the Project Documents or any modification thereof; (iii) any release of the Design-Builder from any liability with respect to any of the Project Documents; or (iv) any release or subordination of any collateral then held by WSDOT as security for the performance by the Design-Builder of the Guaranteed Obligations.
 - c. The Guaranteed Obligations are not conditional or contingent upon the genuineness, validity, regularity, or enforceability of any of the Project Documents or the pursuit by WSDOT of any remedies which WSDOT either now has or may hereafter have with respect thereto under any of the Project Documents.
 - 4. **Liability of Guarantor.** WSDOT may enforce this Guaranty upon the occurrence of a breach by the Design-Builder of any of the Guaranteed Obligations, notwithstanding the existence of any dispute between WSDOT and the Design-Builder with respect to the existence of such a breach.
 - Guarantor's performance of some, but not all, of the Guaranteed Obligations will in no way limit, affect, modify, or abridge Guarantor's liability for those Guaranteed Obligations that have not been performed.

WSDOT, upon such terms as it deems appropriate, without notice or demand and without affecting the validity or enforceability of this Guaranty or giving rise to any reduction, limitation, impairment, discharge, or termination of Guarantor's liability hereunder, from time to time may (i) with respect to the financial obligations of the Design-Builder, renew, extend, accelerate, increase the rate of interest on, or otherwise change the time, place, manner, or terms of payment of such financial obligations that are Guaranteed Obligations, and/or subordinate the payment of the same to the payment of any other obligations; (ii) settle, compromise, release or discharge, or accept or refuse any offer of performance with respect to, or substitutions for, the Guaranteed Obligations or any agreement relating thereto; (iii) request and accept other guarantees of the Guaranteed Obligations and take and hold security for the payment and performance of this Guaranty or the Guaranteed Obligations; (iv) release, surrender, exchange, substitute, compromise, settle, rescind, waive, alter, subordinate, or modify, with or without consideration, any security for performance of the Guaranteed Obligations, any other guarantees of the Guaranteed Obligations, or any other obligation of any Person with respect to the Guaranteed Obligations; (v) enforce and apply any security hereafter held by or for the benefit of WSDOT in respect of this Guaranty or the Guaranteed Obligations and direct the order or manner of sale thereof, or exercise any other right or remedy that WSDOT may have against any such security, as WSDOT in its discretion may determine; and (vi) exercise any other rights available to it under the Project Documents.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44

45

- This Guaranty and the obligations of Guarantor hereunder will be valid and enforceable and will not be subject to any reduction, limitation, impairment, discharge, or termination for any reason (other than indefeasible performance in full of the Guaranteed Obligations), including without limitation the occurrence of any of the following, whether or not Guarantor will have had notice or knowledge of any of them: (i) any failure or omission to assert or enforce or agreement or election not to assert or enforce, or the stay or enjoining, by order of court, by operation of law or otherwise, of the exercise or enforcement of, any claim or demand or any right, power, or remedy (whether arising under the Project Documents, at law, in equity, or otherwise) with respect to the Guaranteed Obligations or any agreement or instrument relating thereto; (ii) any rescission, waiver, amendment, or modification of, or any consent to departure from, any of the terms or provisions (including without limitation provisions relating to events of default) of the Project Documents or any agreement or instrument executed pursuant thereto; (iii) WSDOT's consent to the change, reorganization, or termination of the corporate structure or existence of the Design-Builder; (iv) any defenses, set-offs, or counterclaims that the Design-Builder may allege or assert against WSDOT in respect of the Guaranteed Obligations, including but not limited to failure of consideration, breach of warranty, payment, statute of frauds, accord and satisfaction, and usury; and (v) any other act or thing or omission, or delay to do any other act or thing, which may or might in any manner or to any extent vary the risk of Guarantor as an obligor in respect of the Guaranteed Obligations.
- 5. Waivers. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Guarantor hereby waives and agrees not to assert or take advantage of: (i) any right to require WSDOT to proceed against the Design-Builder or any other Person or to proceed against or exhaust any security held by WSDOT at any time or to pursue any right or remedy under any of the Project Documents or any other remedy in WSDOT's power before proceeding against Guarantor; (ii) any defense that may arise by reason of the incapacity, lack of WSDOT, death or disability of, or revocation hereof by Guarantor, the Design-Builder, or any other Person or the failure of WSDOT to file or enforce a claim against the estate (either in administration, bankruptcy, or any other proceeding) of any such Person; (iii) any defense that may arise by reason of any presentment, demand for payment or performance or otherwise, protest or notice of any other kind or lack thereof; (iv) any right or defense arising out of an election of remedies by WSDOT even though the election of remedies, such as non-judicial foreclosure with respect to any security for the Guaranteed Obligations, has destroyed the Guarantor's rights of subrogation and reimbursement against the Design-Builder by the operation of law or otherwise; (v) all notices to Guarantor, to the Design-Builder, or to any other Person, including, but not limited to, notices of the acceptance of this Guaranty or the creation, renewal, extension, modification, accrual of any of the obligations of the Design-Builder under any of the Project Documents, or of default in the payment or performance of any such obligations, enforcement of any right or remedy with respect thereto, or notice of any other matters relating thereto; (vi) any requirements of diligence or promptness on the part of WSDOT;

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

3637

38

39

40 41

42

43

- (vii) any defense arising out of the lack of validity or the unenforceability of the Guaranteed Obligations or any agreement or instrument relating thereto or by reason of the cessation of the liability of the Design-Builder or any other Person from any cause other than indefeasible performance in full of the Guaranteed Obligations; (viii) any defense based upon any statute or rule of law which provides that the obligation of a Surety must be neither larger in amount nor in other respects more burdensome than that of the principal or which reduces a Surety's or Guarantor's obligation in proportion to the principal obligation; (ix) any defense based upon any act or omission of WSDOT which directly or indirectly results in or aids the discharge or release of the Design-Builder, Guarantor, or any security given or held by WSDOT in connection with the Guaranteed Obligations; and (x) any and all suretyship defenses under Applicable Law.
- 6. Waiver of Subrogation and Rights of Reimbursement; Subordination. Until the Guaranteed Obligations have been indefeasibly paid in full, Guarantor waives any claim, right, or remedy which it may now have or may hereafter acquire against the Design-Builder that arises from the performance of Guarantor hereunder, including, without limitation, any claim, right, or remedy of subrogation, reimbursement, exoneration, contribution, or indemnification, or participation in any claim, right, or remedy of WSDOT against the Design-Builder, or any other security or collateral that WSDOT now has or hereafter acquires, whether or not such claim, right, or remedy arises in equity, under contract, by statute, under common law or otherwise. All existing or future indebtedness of Design-Builder or any shareholders, partners, members, or joint ventures of Design-Builder to Guarantor is subordinated to all of the Guaranteed Obligations. Whenever and for so long as the Design-Builder shall be in default in the performance of a Guaranteed Obligation, no payments with respect to any such indebtedness shall be made by Design-Builder or any shareholders, partners, members, or joint ventures of Design-Builder to Guarantor without the prior written consent of WSDOT. Any payment by Design-Builder or any shareholders, partners, members, or joint ventures of Design-Builder to Guarantor in violation of this provision shall be deemed to have been received by Guarantor as trustee for WSDOT.
 - 7. **Cumulative Rights.** All rights, powers, and remedies of WSDOT hereunder will be in addition to and not in lieu of all other rights, powers, and remedies given to WSDOT, whether at law, in equity or otherwise.
 - 8. **Representations and Warranties.** Guarantor represents and warrants that:
 - a. it is a _____duly [organized][formed], validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the State of _____;
 - b. it has all requisite [corporate][partnership][limited liability company] power and WSDOT to execute, deliver and perform this Guaranty;
 - c. the execution, delivery, and performance by Guarantor of this Guaranty have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate action on the part of Guarantor;

- d. this Guaranty has been duly executed and delivered and constitutes the legal, valid, and binding obligation of Guarantor, enforceable against Guarantor in accordance with its terms;
- e. neither the execution nor delivery of this Guaranty nor compliance with or fulfillment of the terms, conditions, and provisions hereof, will conflict with, result in a material breach or violation of the terms, conditions, or provisions of, or constitute a material default, an event of default, or an event creating rights of acceleration, termination, or cancellation, or a loss of rights under (1) [the certificate of incorporation or by-laws][certificate of limited partnership or partnership agreement][certificate of formation or limited liability company agreement] of Guarantor; (2) any judgment, decree, order, contract, agreement, indenture, instrument, note, mortgage, lease, governmental permit, or other authorization, right restriction, or obligation to which Guarantor is a party or any of its property is subject or by which Guarantor is bound; or (3) any Federal, State, or local law, statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation applicable to Guarantor;
- f. it now has and will continue to have full and complete access to any and all information concerning the transactions contemplated by the Project Documents or referred to therein, the financial status of the Design-Builder and the ability of the Design-Builder to pay and perform the Guaranteed Obligations;
- g. it has reviewed and approved copies of the Project Documents and is fully informed of the remedies WSDOT may pursue, with or without notice to the Design-Builder or any other Person, in the event of default of any of the Guaranteed Obligations;
- h. it has made and so long as the Guaranteed Obligations (or any portion thereof) remain unsatisfied, it will make its own credit analysis of the Design-Builder and will keep itself fully informed as to all aspects of the financial condition of the Design-Builder, the performance of the Guaranteed Obligations of all circumstances bearing upon the risk of nonpayment or nonperformance of the Guaranteed Obligations. Guarantor hereby waives and relinquishes any duty on the part of WSDOT to disclose any matter, fact, or thing relating to the business, operations, or conditions of the Design-Builder now known or hereafter known by WSDOT;
- i. no consent, authorization, approval, order, license, certificate, or permit or act of or from, or declaration or filing with, any governmental WSDOT or any party to any contract, agreement, instrument, lease, or license to which Guarantor is a party or by which Guarantor is bound, is required for the execution, delivery, or compliance with the terms hereof by Guarantor, except as have been obtained prior to the date hereof; and
- j. there is no pending or, to the best of its knowledge, threatened action, suit, proceeding, arbitration, litigation, or investigation of or before any governmental Person which challenges the validity or enforceability of this Guaranty.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

33

34 35

36

37

38

- 9. Governing Law. The validity, interpretation, and effect of this Guaranty are governed by and will be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Washington applicable to contracts made and performed in such State and without regard to conflicts of law doctrines except to the extent that certain matters are pre-empted by Federal law or are governed by the law of the jurisdiction of organization of the respective parties.
 - 10. Entire Document. This Guaranty contains the entire agreement of Guarantor with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and supersedes all negotiations, representations, warranties, commitments, offers, contracts, and writings prior to the date hereof, written or oral, with respect to the subject matter hereof. No waiver, modification, or amendment of any provision of this Guaranty is effective unless made in writing and duly signed by WSDOT referring specifically to this Guaranty, and then only to the specific purpose, extent, and interest so provided.
 - 11. **Severability.** If any provision of this Guaranty is determined to be unenforceable for any reason by a court of competent jurisdiction, it will be adjusted rather than voided, to achieve the intent of the parties, and all of the provisions not deemed unenforceable will be deemed valid and enforceable to the greatest extent possible.
 - 12. **Notices.** Any communication, notice, or demand of any kind whatsoever under this Guaranty shall be in writing and by electronic communication with return receipt requested, email address as follows:

23	If to WSDOT:	
24		
25		
26		Attn:
27		Telephone:
28	If to Guarantor:	
29		
30		
31		Attn:
32		Telephone:

If to WSDOT.

Either Guarantor or WSDOT may from time to time change its address for the purpose of notices by a similar notice specifying a new address, but no such change is effective until it is actually received by the party sought to be charged with its contents.

All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Guaranty which are addressed as provided in this Section are effective upon delivery.

- 13. **Captions.** The captions of the various Sections of this Guaranty have been inserted only for convenience of reference and do not modify, explain, enlarge, or restrict any of the provisions of this Guaranty.
 - 14. **Construction of Guaranty.** Ambiguities or uncertainties in the wording of this Guaranty will not be construed for or against any party, but will be construed in the manner that most accurately reflects the parties' intent as of the date hereof.
 - 15. **No Waiver.** Any forbearance or failure to exercise, and any delay by WSDOT in exercising, any right, power, or remedy hereunder will not impair any such right, power, or remedy or be construed to be a waiver thereof, nor will it preclude the further exercise of any such right, power, or remedy.
 - 16. **Bankruptcy; Reinstatement of Guaranty.** The obligations of Guarantor under this Guaranty will not be reduced, limited, impaired, discharged, deferred, suspended, or terminated by any proceeding, voluntary or involuntary, involving the bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, reorganization, liquidation, or arrangement of the Design-Builder or by any defense which the Design-Builder may have by reason of the order, decree, or decision of any court or administrative body resulting from any such proceeding. WSDOT is not obligated to file any claim relating to the Guaranteed Obligations if the Design-Builder becomes subject to a bankruptcy, reorganization, or similar proceeding and the failure of WSDOT to so file will not affect Guarantor's obligations under this Guaranty.
 - 17. **Attorneys' Fees.** Guarantor agrees to pay to WSDOT without demand reasonable attorneys' fees and all expenses (including such fees and costs of litigation, arbitration, and bankruptcy, and including appeals) incurred by WSDOT in enforcing, collecting, or compromising any Guaranteed Obligation or enforcing or collecting this Guaranty against Guarantor or in attempting to do any or all of the foregoing.
 - 18. Consent to Jurisdiction. Guarantor and WSDOT agree that any action or proceeding to resolve a dispute between Guarantor and WSDOT concerning the interpretation, application or enforcement of the terms of this Guaranty may only be brought in the Superior Court of Thurston County, Washington pursuant to State law. Guarantor and the WSDOT accepts for itself and in connection with ITS properties, generally and unconditionally, the jurisdiction of the aforesaid Court and waives any defense of forum non convenience. If not a resident of the State, Guarantor must appoint and maintain an agent for service of process in the State.

Washington State Department of Transportation I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Gua	arantor has executed this Guaranty as of the date first
2	written above.	
3		
4		At:
5		By:
6		
7		Name:
8		
9		Title:
10		
11		By:
12		
13		Name:
14		
15		Title:
16		
17		

1	FORM	\mathbf{L}
2 3	UTILITY CERT (To be signed by authorized si	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The undersigned certifies by signing and surher knowledge and belief, that the Proposal costs and/or amounts of any kind or nature that are located in WSDOT Right of Way procertification does not apply in the case of a specifically designated right of reimbursement Relocation. In addition, this certification do Relocation that is specifically identified as a Price Proposal – Schedule of Items.	Price does not contain any monies, funds, for the payment of Relocation of Utilities ursuant to franchise or permit. This franchise or permit which contains a ent to the Utility Owner for Utility es not apply in the case of a Utility
13 14	Proposal documents that serve as a basis for audit requirements of Section 1-09.12 of the	
15	A Proposal that does not include this certific	cate will be considered nonresponsive.
16 17 18	The undersigned shall require that the language of this certificate be in all lower tier contracts including but not limited to contracts with Subcontractors, vendors, and suppliers.	
19 20	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury un foregoing is true and correct.	nder the laws of the State that the
21	Signed:	Date:
22	Name:	Title:
23		

1	FORM M
2 3 4	STIPEND AGREEMENT ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project***
5 6	Design-Build Request for Proposal Washington State Department of Transportation Project
7 8 9 10	THIS STIPEND AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is made and entered into as of this,, by and between the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), and, a, ("Proposer"), with reference to the following facts:
11 12 13 14	Proposer is one of the entities prequalified to submit Proposals for the ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project*** (the "Project"), and wishes to submit a Proposal in response to the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the Project issued by WSDOT.
15 16 17	1) The RFP requires each Proposer to include an executed Stipend Agreement in the Proposal, as a condition to the WSDOT's obligation to pay a stipend to the Proposer.
18 19 20	NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements hereinafter set forth and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereby agree as follows:
21 22 23 24 25	1. Services and Performance . WSDOT hereby retains Proposer to prepare a responsive Proposal in response to the RFP. A "responsive" Proposal means a Proposal submitted by a qualified Proposer, which conforms in all material respects to the requirements of the RFP, as determined by WSDOT, and is timely received by WSDOT.
26 27 28 29 30 31	Subject to the provisions of the RFP documents regarding ownership of Escrow Proposal Documents (EPDs), all Work performed by Proposer and its team members pursuant to this Agreement shall be considered Work for hire, and the products of such work shall become the property of WSDOT without restriction or limitation on their use. Neither Proposer nor any of its team members shall copyright any of the material developed under this Agreement.
32 33	Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the RFP.
34 35 36 37 38	2. Term. Unless otherwise provided herein, the provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until execution of the Contract or until 1 year from the date of the execution of this Agreement, whichever occurs first. Services are authorized to commence effective upon the execution date of this Agreement and Proposal, and they are due by the dates set forth in the RFP.
39	3. Compensation and Payment

- a. Compensation payable to Proposer for the services described herein shall be in the amount of ***\$825,000***.
 - b. Payment will be owing hereunder only after receipt and approval of goods and services, and will be made within 45 Calendar Days after award of the Contract or the decision not to award a contract. The Proposer has completed and included an invoice using Form N, *Stipend Invoice* provided in the ITP. WSDOT will advise Proposer when said Contract is executed.
 - c. This Agreement involves the submission of a Proposal by Proposer that must be received by the Proposal Due Date set forth in the RFP and determined responsive by WSDOT as a condition of payment.

4. Indemnities

- a. Subject to the limitations contained in Section 6 of this ITP, the Proposer shall indemnify, protect and hold harmless WSDOT and its directors, officers, employees, and contractors from, and Proposer shall defend at its own expense, all claims, costs, expenses, liabilities, demands, or suits at law or equity of, by or in favor of or awarded to any third party arising in whole or in part from the negligence or willful misconduct of Proposer or any of its agents, officers, employees, representatives, or Subcontractors or breach of any of Proposer's obligations under this Agreement.
- b. Furthermore, if any claim or suit is caused by or results from the concurrent negligence of Proposer or its agents, officers, employees or representatives, this indemnity provision shall be enforceable only to the extent of Proposer's negligence or the negligence of Proposer's agents, officers, employees, representatives, or Subcontractors.

5. Compliance with Laws

- a. Proposer acknowledges that all written correspondence, exhibits, photographs, reports, material, tapes, electronic disks, and other graphic and visual aids submitted to WSDOT during this procurement process, excluding only the EPDs, are, upon their receipt by WSDOT, the property of WSDOT and are subject to the Washington Public Records Act.
- b. Proposer shall comply with all Federal, State, and local laws, ordinances, rules, and regulations applicable to the Work, and shall not discriminate on the grounds of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability in the performance of Work under this Agreement.
- c. Proposer covenants and agrees that it and its employees shall be bound by the standards of conduct provided in Applicable Laws, ordinances, rules, and regulations as they relate to Work performed under this Agreement. Proposer agrees to incorporate the provisions of this paragraph in any Subcontract into which it might enter with reference to the Work performed pursuant to this Agreement.

6. Early Termination

This Agreement may be terminated by WSDOT in whole or in part at any time termination is in the interest of WSDOT. No payment will be owing by WSDOT in the event of any such termination, except as provided in paragraph 3a above.

7. Assignment

Proposer shall not assign this Agreement without WSDOT's prior written consent. Any assignment of this Agreement without such consent shall be null and void.

8. Miscellaneous

- a. Upon request by the WSDOT Engineer, the Proposer shall, within 24 hours of such request, provide all electronic files used to create the ATCs contained in the Proposal, including CADD, field survey, and Site investigation files in a manner prescribed by the WSDOT Engineer.
- b. Proposer and WSDOT agree that Proposer, its team members, and their respective employees are not agents of WSDOT as a result of this Agreement.
- c. All words used herein in the singular form shall extend to and include the plural. All words used in the plural form shall extend and include the singular. All words used in any gender shall extend to and include all genders.
- d. This Agreement, together with the RFP, embodies the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof. There are no promises, terms, conditions, or obligations other than those contained herein or in the RFP, and this Agreement shall supersede all previous communications, representation, or agreements, either verbal or written, between the parties hereto.
- e. It is understood and agreed by the parties hereto that if any part, term, or provision of this Agreement is by the courts held to be illegal or in conflict with any State law, the validity of the remaining portions or provisions shall not be affected, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced as if the Agreement did not contain the particular part, term, or provisions to be invalid.
- f. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with State law.
- IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed and delivered as of the day and year first above written.

Washington State Department of Transportation I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project

1 2 3	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
4	By:	
5	Name:	
6	Title:	
7	[Insert Proposer's name]	
8	By:	
9	Name:	_
10	Title:	
11		

FORM N	
STIPEND INVOICE	
Company:	
Address:	
Phone:	
Fax:	
Contact:	
Email:	
Invoice Date:	
Invoice Date:	C.1 C
Payment Terms: Due within 45 Calendar Days after award of the decision not to award the Contract.	t the Contract or a
Invoice #:	
Job Reference:	
Bill To: ***Kyengo Ndile, PE, PMP NWR Sno-King Design Office – Project Engineer 15700 Dayton Ave. N, Seattle, WA 98133***	
Description	Price
Stipend payment in accordance with Form M, Stipend Agreement of the design-build Proposal for the ***I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints*** Project	
	***\$825,000**
Subtotal	***\$825,000** ***\$825,000***
Subtotal Sales Tax	
	***\$825,000** N/A
Sales Tax	***\$825,000***

1		FORM Q
2 3 4	Washington State	F WSDOT-OWNED PROPERTY Department of Transportation Id Request for Proposal
5	Proposer Name:	
6 7 8 9	shown in the *** <i>I-5 Potential Stag</i> WSDOT-owned Property Terms an	on to use any of the WSDOT-owned property ging Areas, Appendix R***, agreeing to the nd Conditions set forth in ***WSDOT-Owned ppendix R*** of the Request for Proposal?
10	Check One: ☐ Yes ☐ No	
11	Signed:	Date:
12	Name:	Title:
13 14		

1 2 FORM R 3 ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE AND AVOIDANCE/NEUTRALIZATION/MITIGATION PLAN 4 5 This disclosure statement outlines potential organizational conflicts of interest, either real or apparent, which as a result of activities or relationships with other Persons or 6 7 entities, such Person or entity: Is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to the 8 Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) 9 10 Is or might be otherwise impaired in its objectivity in performing the contract 11 Work 12 • Has an unfair competitive advantage SECTION I of this disclosure statement describes the potential organizational 13 conflicts of interest, as described in WSDOT Secretary's Executive Order E 1059.00 14 and WSDOT Organizational Conflicts of Interest Manual. SECTION II of this 15 disclosure statement describes the management plan for avoiding, neutralizing, or 16 mitigating the potential organizational conflicts of interest as described in 17 18 SECTION I of this disclosure statement. I acknowledge that WSDOT may require revisions to the management plan described in SECTION II of this disclosure 19 statement prior to approving it, and that WSDOT has the right, in its sole discretion, 20 21 to limit or prohibit my involvement in the Project as a result of the potential 22 organizational conflicts of interest described in SECTION I of this disclosure statement. 23 24 SECTION Ia - Name of Person or Firm Potentially Conflicted 25 SECTION Ib – Current Project Name and Scope of Work 26 27 28 SECTION Ic – Future Project Name and Description of Potential Conflicts of 29 Interest 30 **SECTION II - Plan for Managing Potential Conflicts of Interest** 31 32 33 34

FORM S 1 ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION 2 3 To be signed by authorized Proposer representative 4 Name of Proposer: My signature below certifies that, prior to submitting this Proposal, I have conducted an 5 internal review of Proposer's current affiliations and have required Proposer's team 6 7 members to identify potential, real, or perceived organizational conflicts of interest relative to the anticipated procurement, in accordance with the WSDOT Secretary's Executive 8 Order E 1059.00 and WSDOT Organizational Conflicts of Interest Manual. 9 I further certify that Form(s) R, Organizational Conflicts of Interest Disclosure and 10 Avoidance/Neutralization/Mitigation Plan is/are provided, as listed below, for all 11 potential, real, or perceived organizational conflicts of interest as defined in WSDOT 12 Organizational Conflicts of Interest Manual for all Proposer's team members. 13 Signed: ______ Date: _____ 14 Title: 15 List attachments by name of Person(s) or firm(s) potentially conflicted: 16 17 18

FORM T

Maintenance of Traffic Milestone Reduction in the Allowable Closures

See ITP Section 3.3.3 for instructions on how to fill out this form and the description of how this Form T will be used in evaluating the Proposal.

See *General Provisions* Section 1-08.9 for liquidated damages that will be assessed for failure to complete certain Work by the end of the closures filled in by the Proposer for on this form.

See Technical Requirements Section 2.22, *Maintenance of Traffic*, for specifications regarding how the closure reductions filled in by the Proposer on this form will be used to establish allowed closures.

	Maximum Allowed Reduction	Technical Credits Available per Reduction	Maximum Technical Credits Available	Proposed and Committed Closure Reduction
U1: One-Lane Weekend Closures	7	\$60,000	\$420,000	
U2: Two-Lane Weekend Closures	11	\$120,000	\$1,320,000	
U3: Three-Lane Weekend Closures	2	\$130,000	\$260,000	
V: Weekend Roadway Closures	10	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	
W: Southbound I-5 Linear Long- Term Closure	250	\$2,000	\$500,000	
X: Northbound I-5 Linear Long- Term Closure	250	\$2,000	\$500,000	
Z: Entrance and Exit Ramp Closures	50	\$20,000	\$1,000,000	

2

3

4 5

6

8 9

Washington State Department of Transportation I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. – Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project

1	I have read and understand the relevant sections of the	ITP, and the Contract
2	Documents related to the above listed reductions. I agree	ee that proposed closure
3	reductions filled in on this form are contractually bindi	ng and will be included in
4	the Contract Form as a betterment.	_
5		
6	Signed:	Date:
	55. S	
7	Printed Name:	Date:

FORM U 1 PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE CERTIFICATION 2 3 [Name], am the [Company Officer's 4 *Title*] of [Design-Builder Company Name], and am authorized 5 to provide this certification. 6 I have reviewed the terms of the insurance requirements under WSDOT contract No. 7 8 (the "Contract"), and have also reviewed the terms of the professional 9 liability insurance issued by [Name of Insurer] under policy [Policy Number] (the "Professional Liability Insurance Policy"). I 10 number 11 represent and warrant on behalf of [Design-Builder Company Name] that the Professional Liability Insurance Policy meets the requirements of the Contract. 12 13 I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my 14 knowledge. DATED this _____ [Day] day of _____ [Month], _____ [Year] at _____ [City], _____ [State], Washington. 15 16 17 [Name of Officer Signing Certification] 18 19

1 2	FORM V
3	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Performance (DBE) Plan Template
4	
5 6	The following are the ITP instructions for completing DBE Performance Plan that is required to be submit as Appendix D in the Proposal.
7	
8 9	Instructions for Completing DBE Performance Plan
10 11	SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION
12 13	The Proposer shall provide the Project name, Proposer's name, Project Manager's name, and DBE Program Administrator name.
14 15	Affirm Commitment
16 17 18	The Proposer shall provide written commitment of all Major Participates to comply with and advance the DBE Performance Plan.
19	Education Programs, Training Programs, and Resources
20 21 22 23 24	• The Proposer shall describe in detail what education programs, training programs, and resources that are in place or will be in place to communicate to its employees the expected employee behaviors and performance relative to implementing the Federally funded Design-Build DBE Performance Plan.
25 26 27	SECTION 2 SUBCONTRACTING PERCENTAGE
28	Table 2-1
29 30	• This table will be completed by WSDOT with the DBE Contract Goals. These are the minimum goals.
31 32	Table 2-2
33 34 35 36 37	• The Proposer shall complete this table with their team's DBE commitment goals. Your team may increase these DBE commitment goals. Note: the DBE Contract Goals listed in Table 2-1 are the minimum.
38 39	SECTION 3 DIVERSE BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTORS
10	Table 3
11 12	• The Proposer shall completely fill out Table 3, "DBE Subcontractors" with any known DBE Commitments.

Washington State Department of Transportation I-5/Yesler Way to NE 117th St. - Pavement, Deck, and Expansion Joints Project The Proposer shall include the names of any DBE Commitments, if any, they 1 2 committing to at the time of the proposal for this Project. • The Proposer shall describe the scope of Work the DBE firms are committed to 3 4 perform. 5 The Proposer shall indicate the percentage this DBE Subcontractor will fulfil. 6 7 If the Proposer has any DBE Commitments in Table 3 a written confirmation from each 8 DBE firm shall be included with the DBE Performance Plan and submitted with their 9 Proposal. 10 11 12 **SECTION 4 DBE Program Administrator** 13 DBE Program Administrator Experience 14 15 • The Proposer shall provide a resume for the DBE Program Administrator in Appendix D. 16 17 • The Proposer shall describe in detail how the DBE Program Administrator will 18 use their experience to identity, recruit and assisting DBE firms in developing 19 relationships with Design-Builders. 20

DBE Program Administrator Selection

21

2223

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

3637

38

39

40 41

42

• The Proposer shall describe in detail how the chosen DBE Program Administrator work with the community.

DBE Program Administrator Strategies

- The Proposer shall describe in detail the strategies the DBE Program Administrator shall use to support the DBE Performance Plan.
- The Proposer shall clearly describe the strategies of how the DBE Program Administrator will work with, and be integrated within, the Design-Build team to meet or exceed the DBE Contract Goals.
- The Proposer shall include a list of the DBE Program Administrator responsibilities. For purposes of completing the DBE Performance Plan, the DBE Program Administrator responsibilities typically include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Administration of the DBE Performance Plan and oversight of DBE Contract Goals attainment efforts
 - Outreach and networking with the DBE community throughout the life of the Contract
 - Outreach to qualified DBE firms to submit subcontract bids
 - o Developing strategies for including DBE firms in the Project
 - Outreach to qualified DBES subcontractors when replacement of Subcontractors is required during the Contract execution

o Proposing effective strategies such as awarding DBE firms for certain 1 2 scopes of work and conducting competitive subcontract awards for 3 remaining scopes of work Working with estimators during the subcontract plan preparation and 4 5 subcontract bidding 6 o Reviewing procurement processes, procurement documents, bid 7 evaluation, and award decisions to ensure the consideration of DBE 8 opportunities in each subcontract procurement 9 • Submitting and discussing updates monthly with the WSDOT Engineer, 10 which at a minimum includes new commitments, progress to date, and 11 projections • Submitting required updates in WSDOT's reporting program and 12 13 proactively moving the information through the system o Ensuring DBE firms are performing a Commercially Useful Function 14 • Evaluating change orders for potential diverse business participation and 15 facilitating outreach to DBE firms to encourage participation in the added 16 17 Work • Assisting DBE to successfully complete their scope of work on the Project 18 19 o Preparing and submitting monthly and quarterly DBE Performance Plan 20 updates to WSDOT, describing current progress, and forecasting to 21 Physical Completion

DBE Program Administrator Availability

• The Proposer shall confirm the DBE Program Administrator is full time.

SECTION 5 PLAN TO MEET DBE CONTRACT GOALS

Outreach

2223

24

25

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

3738

39

40

41

- The Proposer shall clearly state the proposed strategies and approaches to ensure outreach at all phases of the Project.
- The Proposer shall provide a description of proposed actions/strategies that will be used (on a regular basis) by the Proposer to solicit interest and bids from DBE Subcontractors/Subconsultants on Work opportunities arising from this Project include using the services of minority/women community organizations; minority/women contractors' groups; local, State, Federal minority/women business assistance offices and other organizations.

Contracting Opportunities

The Proposer shall detail the methods your team will use during their procurement of work and to provide the DBE firms potential upcoming opportunities clearly and transparently.

3

4

5 6

7

8 9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40

- The Proposal shall include a schedule showing outreach, solicitation timelines, 2 contractual deadlines, and work or production activities.
 - The Proposal shall describe the tools and processes to support this effort.
 - The Proposer needs to describe how they will negotiate in good faith with interested DBEs. It is the Proposer's responsibility to make portions of the Work available to DBEs and to select those portions of the Work, material needs, or both, to be consistent with the DBE availability.

Methods to ensure DBE Firms are successfully integrated into the Project

- The Proposer shall provide a description of proposed efforts to identify Contract Work items normally completed by the Proposer into economically feasible units (e.g., smaller tasks or quantities) with flexible timeframes for performance and delivery to facilitate DBE participation.
- The Proposer shall provide a description of efforts by the Proposer to provide DBEs with adequate information about the plans, specifications, and requirements.
- The Proposer shall provide a description of efforts by the Proposer to help remove barriers to DBE participation, such as waiving bonding requirements, Project Labor Agreement (PLA) requirements, et al.
- The Proposer shall provide a description of efforts by the Proposer to assist interested DBEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services.
- The Proposer shall provide a description of proposed procedures identifying how and why a DBE is deemed unqualified.

SECTION 6 PLAN FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING OF **COMMITMENTS**

Reporting Processes and Steps

- The Proposer shall describe the processes and steps that will be taken for reporting to WSDOT during the life of the Project.
- The Proposer shall provide a commitment to submit monthly reports.

Future Potential commitments or opportunities

A list of projected DBE bid opportunities (scopes of Work, including a projected schedule) and any DBE Commitments to date his sub-section is not required to be completed during this phase.

Reporting Experience and Capacity

• The Proposer shall demonstrate experience and capacity to prepare reports in real time.

4 5

1

2

3

SECTION 7 – PAST DBE PROJECT REFERENCES

6 7 8 • The Proposer shall provide project references including project name, contract amount, contracting method, required goal percentage, achieved percentage, and owner representative name and contact information (email address and phone number).

10 11

9

Signature Section

By signing this DBE Performance Plan, the Proposer commitment to utilize DBEs, as outlined in this DBE Performance Plan.

13 14

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION	
Project Name:	
Firm Name:	
Project Manager Name:	
DBE Program Administrator Name:	
Complete per the instructions. The DI heading to address the following:	BE Performance Plan shall include section s
Affirm Commitment [3]	
	4-
Education Programs, Training Programs SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals:
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions.	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals:
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. <u>DBI</u>	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI DBE Categories	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1 DBE Contract Goals
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI DBE Categories DBE Design	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1 DBE Contract Goals ***16*** percentage
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI DBE Categories DBE Design	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1 DBE Contract Goals ***16*** percentage ***20*** percentage
SECTION 2 – SUBCONTRACTING Complete per the instructions. DBI DBE Categories DBE Design DBE Construction	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1 DBE Contract Goals ***16*** percentage ***20*** percentage Table 2-2
DBE Categories DBE Categories DBE Categories DBE Categories	G PERCENTAGES E Contract Goals: Table 2-1 DBE Contract Goals ***16*** percentage ***20*** percentage Table 2-2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

Table 3 **DBE Subcontractors**

DBE Design	Describe SOW (One per row)	DBE Percentage
9	Describe SOW (One per row)	DBE 1 ercentage
Commitments		
DBE Construction	Describe SOW (One per row)	DBE Percentage
	2 contract so we (one per row)	D D D T OT COMMING
Commitments		

Note – *Use more rows as necessary*

SECTION 4 – DBE Program Administrator

Complete per the instructions. The DBE Performance Plan shall include section sub-heading to address the following:

DBE Program	
Administrator Name	
Firm	
Email	
Number	

11 <u>DBE Program Administrator Experience</u>12

DBE Program Administrator Selection

DBE Program Administrator Strategies

DBE Program Administrator Availability [1]?

17 18 19

16

10

Complete p		MEET DBE Cons. The DBE Pe e following:			ection
<u>Outreach</u>					
Contracting	Opportunities				
Methods to	ensure DBE Fi	rms are successf	ully integrated	into the Project	
SECTION	6 DIANEO	R REPORTING	COAL ATT	AINIMENIT	
		ons. The DBE Pe			ection
	gs to address th		rjormance i iar	i shall include s	ecuon
sue neuanie	,s to dad ess th	e jouo wing.			
Reporting F	Processes and S	teps			
					
Future Pote	ntial Commitm	ents or Opportun	<u>iities</u>		
Reporting E	Experience and	<u>Capacity</u>			
SECTION	7 DACT DDE	PROJECT REI	EEDENCES		
Project	Contract	Contracting	Goal	Achieved	Owner
Name	Amount	Method	Percentage	Percentage	Contact
Tvarre	7 Hillouit	TVICTIOG	Teremage	Tercentage	Contac
					I
By signing t	his DBE Perform	mance Plan, the P	roposer commit	ment to utilize D	BEs, as ou
in this DBE	Performance Pl	an.	1		ŕ
Signed:			Da	ate:	
Nome			T:	tle:	